The Criterion: An International Journal in English
Bi-Monthly Peer-Reviewed Open Access e-Journal
(ISSN: 0976-8165) Vol. 17, Issue-VIII, September 2026
Special Issue: World Literature
www.the-criterion.com

Literary Voyages Revealing Legal Conflicts and Ethical Dilemmas

Dr. Rita Pawan Bansal
I/c Principal, D.T.S.S. College of Law
Kurar Village, Malad (East), Mumbai
rita.bansal@sanskarsarjan.org

https://doi.org/10.66376/criterion.v17.n8.15

Abstract:

Literature has consistently provided one of the most persuasive ways of examining society because it does not treat law, morality, and justice as distant concepts. It places them within human conduct, relationships, fear, desire, power, vulnerability, and social pressure. The present study, titled Literary Voyages Revealing Legal Conflicts and Ethical Dilemmas, examines how literary texts use journeys, displacement, institutional struggle, social transition, and inner moral conflict to reveal the tensions between formal law and ethical responsibility. These voyages may be physical, as in movement across spaces and social orders, or psychological, as characters are compelled to confront justice, guilt, duty, prejudice, punishment, mercy, and reform. Through selected literary works from different historical and cultural contexts, the study explores how authors present conflicts involving rights, obligations, authority, social accountability, and personal conscience, while also exposing the distance between codified legal norms and lived ethical experience (Barnikana 240).

The study further argues that literature becomes especially powerful when legal systems appear inadequate before the complexity of human life. Literary narratives often show that the law may be valid in form but incomplete in spirit when it ignores context, inequality, social bias, or moral transformation. By entering such textual journeys, readers are encouraged to think about law not merely as a set of commands but as a social institution that must constantly answer questions of legitimacy, fairness, interpretation, and humanity. Literature also performs an educational function, as it enables students, scholars, and readers to encounter legal and ethical dilemmas as situations lived by human beings rather than as abstract classroom examples (Mudgal and Naizi).

Ultimately, this research demonstrates that literary voyages are not only artistic movements through story; they are spaces of legal and ethical inquiry. They question authority, reveal injustice, test moral judgment, and contribute to a deeper understanding of how justice evolves. By bringing law and literature into conversation, the study supports an interdisciplinary approach that treats narrative as an important method for understanding legal conflict, ethical choice, and the continuing struggle to make justice meaningful in society.

Keywords: literature, legal conflicts, ethics, justice, moral dilemmas.

Article History: Submitted-29/04/2026, Revised-30/06/2026, Accepted-20/07/2026, Published-30/09/2026.
Copyright vests with the author. Licensing: Distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Introduction

Literature has always carried the ability to hold society before itself. It records not only emotions, relationships, and private struggles, but also the moments when human conduct comes into conflict with authority, rules, customs, and ideas of justice. The phrase literary voyages suggests a movement through such narratives. These movements may take the form of physical journeys, social displacement, courtroom encounters, institutional oppression, or inward moral awakening. In each case, characters are made to pass through situations in which the law is tested against conscience, survival, loyalty, compassion, and responsibility. For this reason, literature becomes a meaningful field for understanding the complicated relationship between law and morality (Roy).

Across periods and genres, writers have repeatedly turned to questions that also concern legal thought. What makes authority valid? Can a law be fair if its application is prejudiced? Should punishment end with suffering, or should it allow the possibility of reform? What happens when legal correctness produces moral unease? These questions arise in literary works not as theoretical propositions but through people, events, decisions, and consequences. A novel, play, or story can therefore show the human effect of legal rules in a manner that formal legal writing often cannot. It allows the reader to see how rights, duties, liability, and accountability are experienced in unequal and emotionally charged circumstances (Gandalf).

Ethical dilemmas in literature usually appear when characters must choose between competing claims. A character may be required to choose between loyalty and truth, obedience and conscience, legality and survival, or strict justice and mercy. Such conflicts expose the limits of a purely legalistic approach. They show that legal systems require interpretation, discretion, and empathy if they are to remain connected with human realities. In this sense, literature does not weaken law; rather, it encourages a fuller understanding of

the spirit behind legal rules. It asks whether the letter of the law is enough when the circumstances of life are uncertain, unequal, or morally difficult (Miller 30).

From a legal perspective, reading literary narratives helps one understand how laws function in lived experience. Justice does not exist only in statutes, judgments, and procedures. It is shaped by culture, power, class, gender, prejudice, vulnerability, memory, and social expectation. Literature draws attention to these factors by showing how legal conflicts affect families, communities, accused persons, victims, witnesses, officials, and bystanders. It makes visible the emotional and social cost of legal failure. It also shows that the legitimacy of law depends not only on enforcement but also on whether people believe it to be fair and worthy of obedience (Kaur).

This study therefore explores the intersection of law, literature, and ethics through selected literary works. It examines how literary narratives portray legal conflicts and how those conflicts shape human relationships and social order. It also analyses ethical dilemmas faced by characters through the lens of legal principles, moral responsibility, and justice. The broader purpose is to understand how literature critiques legal systems by highlighting fairness, bias, delay, punishment, authority, and social exclusion. The study further considers how authors use fiction to question established norms and dominant social values, and how such fictional conflicts often resemble real legal dilemmas. In doing so, it develops an interdisciplinary perspective that connects law, literature, and human values in a meaningful way (Williams xix).

The central argument of this paper is that literary works do not merely reflect legal conflicts and ethical dilemmas; they reveal the gaps between law and morality. By placing legal issues within human stories, they help readers understand justice as something more complex than rule application. Literary narratives show that law is part of social life, not

separate from it. They also show that moral values change, legal systems may fail, and justice must be constantly interpreted in light of human experience.

Literary Narratives as Mirrors of Law

Literary narratives often serve as a subtle but powerful mirror of law. Legal texts are usually written in the language of rules, procedures, doctrines, and institutions. Literature, by contrast, presents law as something encountered by people in moments of fear, conflict, uncertainty, and decision. This difference is significant. A statute may define authority, but a story can show whether that authority is accepted. A judgment may explain punishment, but a novel can reveal what punishment does to a human life. Literature therefore becomes an important companion to legal study, especially when the focus is not only on what the law says but on how justice is experienced (Ghosh 267).

A strong illustration of this relationship is found in William Golding's Lord of the Flies. The novel begins with a group of schoolboys stranded on an uninhabited island, separated from the adult world and from formal institutions of law. At first, the boys try to create order. They gather in assemblies, speak through an agreed system, and give symbolic authority to the conch shell. The conch becomes more than an object. It regulates speech, grants recognition, and represents the possibility of organised social life. In legal-theoretical terms, the conch may be compared with Hans Kelsen's concept of the Grundnorm, or basic norm. Just as the Grundnorm is understood as the foundational source from which legal validity flows, the conch becomes the accepted source of authority among the boys.

The comparison is useful because the conch has no physical power of its own. It cannot punish anyone. Its authority arises only because the group chooses to recognise it. Similarly, the effectiveness of a legal system depends not merely on written rules but on collective acceptance of those rules as binding. In the early part of the novel, the boys obey

the conch because they believe in the order it represents. However, as fear, rivalry, and the desire for domination increase, respect for the conch begins to weaken. This gradual loss of obedience shows how fragile legal order can be when its moral and social foundation collapses (Mughal c334).

When the boys begin to ignore the conch, the collapse is not only symbolic; it marks the breakdown of normative order. The basic rule that once structured their common life no longer commands respect. The result is confusion, violence, and the rise of arbitrary authority. This mirrors real-world situations in which constitutional values or foundational legal norms lose legitimacy. A legal system may still exist on paper, but if citizens and officials no longer believe in its authority or fairness, its ability to maintain order becomes weak. Lord of the Flies thus offers a compact but powerful picture of how law depends on shared belief, ethical restraint, and social consent.

The novel also demonstrates that legal conflicts are rarely simple. The island has no written constitution, no court, and no police force, yet legal questions emerge almost immediately. Who should lead? Who may speak? How should resources be shared? What duties are owed to the group? What happens when someone refuses to obey? These questions show that law is not limited to formal institutions. It appears wherever human beings must organize life together. Ralph represents order, rational deliberation, and rule-based governance. Jack, on the other hand, represents instinct, power, fear, and command. Their conflict reflects a major jurisprudential tension: should law primarily preserve order, or must it also respond to human emotions, social realities, and claims of justice? The struggle between Ralph and Jack can therefore be read as a conflict between legal positivism and a more flexible, value-oriented idea of law (Magdy).

Golding's novel also reveals that law cannot survive through rules alone. The boys initially possess a system, but they lack the ethical discipline required to sustain it. Their

friendships, fears, group loyalties, and hunger for status influence conduct more strongly than their agreed rules. This is a crucial insight for legal theory. In any society, obedience is not produced only by coercion. People follow law because they see it as legitimate, because they trust institutions, and because shared moral values support public order. Once trust disappears, even well-framed rules become vulnerable.

The question of justice is equally important in the novel. In the absence of impartial procedures, punishment becomes unstable and collective fear turns into mob behaviour. The boys move from discussion to domination, from rules to violence. This deterioration shows why procedural safeguards, neutral decision-making, and the rule of law are essential in any society. Yet the novel also raises a deeper question: do formal legal systems always prevent injustice, or can they too become instruments of power when ethical commitment is absent? Literature opens space for such inquiry because it allows law to be seen through consequence rather than definition.

The island in Lord of the Flies may therefore be read as a microcosm of political society. It shows that law is not merely a command backed by force, nor simply a collection of rules. It is a social construct that requires legitimacy, belief, continuity, and ethical conduct (Sathyaseelan 100). The conch, like the Grundnorm, reminds the reader that the strength of a legal order lies in the willingness of people to recognise and uphold its authority. Once that willingness disappears, law loses its living force. In this way, literary imagination makes legal theory visible, memorable, and human (Golding).

Literary Narratives as Mirrors of Legal Conflict and Social Justice

Literature has never been limited to entertainment. It questions power, exposes injustice, and asks readers to distinguish between what is lawful and what is fair. Many works of English and world literature operate as mirrors of legal conflict and social justice because

they show how legal systems touch ordinary lives. Through character, setting, dialogue, and plot, writers reveal that law can protect, but it can also exclude, delay, silence, or punish unjustly. These narratives bring forward the tension between legal rules and moral responsibility (Prasantham 2).

Harper Lee's To Kill a Mockingbird is one of the clearest examples of this relationship. The trial of Tom Robinson is presented through courtroom procedure, witness testimony, advocacy, and judgment, yet the central issue is not procedural form alone. The novel shows that a legal system may promise equality while society supplies prejudice. Tom Robinson is not defeated simply by lack of evidence; he is defeated by racial bias that enters the courtroom through the attitudes of the community. Through Scout's developing understanding, the reader sees that justice requires more than rules. It requires a social conscience strong enough to resist prejudice. The novel therefore exposes the gap between law in theory and law in practice.

Charles Dickens' Bleak House offers a different but equally important critique. The case of Jarndyce and Jarndyce is not marked by sudden violence or obvious prejudice; it is marked by delay, complexity, and institutional exhaustion. Dickens presents litigation as a process that consumes lives. The legal dispute stretches endlessly, draining emotional energy, financial resources, and hope. The court exists to resolve conflict, but in the novel it becomes the conflict itself. This portrayal compels the reader to ask whether a legal system that follows procedure but fails to deliver timely relief can truly be called just (Wang 617).

Franz Kafka's The Trial takes the anxiety of law in another direction. Josef K. is arrested and drawn into a legal process without being told the precise nature of his offence. The power of the novel lies in its atmosphere of uncertainty. The law is everywhere, yet it cannot be understood. Officials appear, procedures unfold, and guilt is assumed, but transparency is absent. Kafka's work speaks to the danger of opaque and unaccountable legal

systems. It raises questions about notice, procedural fairness, the right to defend oneself, and the psychological violence caused by institutions that operate without explanation (Ghosh 151).

Victor Hugo's Les Misérables places the issue of punishment and moral reform at the centre. Jean Valjean is punished severely for a minor theft committed under conditions of poverty and desperation. The law treats the act as an offence, but the novel asks the reader to look at the circumstances behind the act and the person beyond the offence. Valjean's later transformation challenges a purely punitive view of justice. Inspector Javert represents strict legal authority and an unbending belief in punishment, while Valjean represents the possibility of repentance, dignity, and moral change. Hugo therefore turns the reader toward a crucial legal and ethical question: should justice only punish wrongdoing, or should it also recognise redemption (Lewis)?

Jane Austen's Pride and Prejudice shows that legal conflict need not always appear in a courtroom. Property and inheritance rules shape the lives of the Bennet family, especially through the system of entailment that restricts inheritance to male heirs. The law operates quietly but powerfully. It affects marriage prospects, family security, female vulnerability, and social mobility. Austen does not present this as a dramatic trial, yet the legal framework controls the choices available to her characters. The novel shows that law is often woven into everyday life, influencing relationships and futures even when it is not openly discussed (Kaur).

These examples demonstrate why literature is valuable for understanding legal conflict. Legal documents usually focus on provisions, principles, and precedent. Literature focuses on people living under the weight of those provisions and principles. It allows readers to feel the injustice of prejudice, the exhaustion of delay, the fear of opacity, the severity of

punishment, and the quiet pressure of property laws. By doing so, it makes abstract concepts such as fairness, accountability, rights, and responsibility easier to understand.

At the same time, literature does not simplify law into a single moral lesson. It shows that law can be a means of protection and also a means of oppression. It depends on who makes the law, who interprets it, who has access to it, and whose voice is heard within it. Literary narratives therefore remind us that legal systems are human institutions. They carry the strengths and weaknesses of the societies that create them (Stevens). This is why the study of literature can deepen legal understanding. It trains the reader to notice not only what the law declares but also whom it affects, whom it ignores, and what kind of justice it produces in practice.

In this sense, literary narratives serve as powerful mirrors of legal conflict and social justice. They reveal the dignity and suffering hidden behind legal disputes. They show that justice cannot be measured only by formal procedure. It must also be examined through fairness, equality, compassion, and social consequence. By engaging with such works, readers develop a more thoughtful and humane understanding of law.

Literature as a Lens: Bridging Law, Morality, and Human Justice

Literature offers a lens through which law, morality, and human justice can be studied together. When a reader enters a novel or a play, the reader is not simply following a plot. The reader is also being drawn into questions of authority, guilt, innocence, responsibility, social duty, and moral choice. These questions are central to legal thought, but literature presents them in a form that is emotionally and ethically immediate. It asks: Is every legal act just? Is every unlawful act immoral? How should society respond when law fails to recognise suffering? Such questions make literature a valuable companion to legal education and legal philosophy (Stanekzai).

Charles Dickens' Oliver Twist illustrates how law may appear orderly while remaining indifferent to suffering. The workhouse system and the treatment of vulnerable children in the novel reveal a society that has institutional structures but lacks compassion. Children like Oliver are not protected in any meaningful sense; they are disciplined, neglected, and pushed into danger. Dickens does not write a legal treatise, yet he exposes the moral failure of systems that claim to regulate poverty while ignoring human dignity. The novel forces readers to ask whether legality can be defended when it produces cruelty or permits neglect.

To Kill a Mockingbird also bridges law and morality through the figure of Atticus Finch. Atticus performs his professional duty as a lawyer, but his significance lies in the fact that he treats that duty as a moral commitment. He defends Tom Robinson in a society where the outcome is shaped by prejudice, yet he continues because law without moral courage becomes hollow. The courtroom, therefore, becomes more than a place of legal argument. It becomes a site where the ideals of justice confront the failures of society. The novel reminds us that procedure alone cannot secure justice if those who operate the system lack integrity and empathy.

William Shakespeare's The Merchant of Venice presents a classic conflict between strict legal entitlement and equitable mercy. Shylock insists on the bond and claims his legal right to a pound of flesh. Portia's intervention turns the scene toward the limits of rigid interpretation. The legal issue is not merely whether a contract exists, but whether enforcement without mercy can be considered just. The play is complex because it does not allow the reader to dismiss either side too easily. Shylock's insistence is rooted in legal entitlement and personal humiliation, while Portia's plea for mercy raises the ethical demand that law should not become inhuman. The scene continues to remain relevant because courts and legal systems still face the challenge of balancing certainty with equity (Smith et al. 58).

Pride and Prejudice further shows that law is embedded in social life. The entailment of the Bennet property is a technical legal arrangement, but for the family it becomes a source of anxiety and vulnerability. It influences marriage, security, and the future position of women. Austen's achievement lies in showing how a rule that appears neutral can produce unequal consequences in a particular social setting. Through this, literature reveals the social life of law. It shows that legal norms do not remain in books; they shape homes, choices, relationships, and expectations (Dalal 45).

What makes literature especially useful for legal reflection is its ability to place the human being at the centre of legal analysis. Law often speaks in categories: accused, victim, plaintiff, defendant, heir, debtor, citizen, offender. Literature restores individuality to those categories. It shows fear, shame, courage, helplessness, prejudice, hope, and moral growth. It also captures the inner conflicts that legal records may not fully express. A character caught between what is legally right and morally just becomes a living example of the complexity that legal systems must address.

This does not mean that literature rejects law. On the contrary, literature often shows why law is necessary. Without law, there may be arbitrariness, violence, exploitation, and disorder. However, literature also warns that law must remain accountable to human values. It must balance certainty with flexibility, authority with compassion, and punishment with the possibility of reform. In this way, literature complements legal study by adding dimensions of emotion, context, and ethical reflection that may be absent in doctrinal analysis (Kaur).

Viewing law through literature also reminds us that justice is not fixed for all times and places. It is shaped by history, culture, social hierarchy, economic conditions, and public morality. What one society treats as lawful may later be recognised as unjust. Literary works preserve these tensions and allow readers to examine them across time. They challenge the assumption that legal validity automatically produces moral legitimacy. They also encourage

readers to ask how law can evolve in response to changing understandings of dignity, equality, and fairness (Appel 609).

For students and scholars of law, literature can therefore serve a pedagogical role. It encourages careful reading, empathy, ethical reasoning, and sensitivity to context. It invites future lawyers and judges to consider not only the rule but the person before the rule. It also helps readers understand that legal disputes are rarely only technical. They are often shaped by social power, personal history, and moral uncertainty.

In bridging law, morality, and human justice, literature performs a distinctive function. It does not replace legal reasoning, nor does it provide final answers to every legal dilemma. Instead, it raises better questions. It asks readers to recognise suffering, examine power, question rigid assumptions, and imagine justice as a living social value. This is why literary narratives remain important to any serious discussion of law and ethics.

Conclusion

The exploration of literary works through a legal lens shows that literature is much more than artistic expression. It is a serious medium through which the complexity of law, justice, morality, and human conduct can be examined. The selected works discussed in this study reveal that legal conflicts are not merely disputes over rules. They are lived experiences that affect individuals, families, communities, and social order. By placing characters in circumstances where legality and morality meet, conflict, or separate, literature allows readers to engage with legal principles in a personal and reflective manner.

A major insight emerging from this study is that ethical dilemmas in literature often resemble real legal challenges. Characters are frequently required to choose between legal obedience and moral conviction, between punishment and mercy, between social duty and individual conscience. These conflicts expose the limitations of rigid legal frameworks. Law

is essential for order, but it cannot always capture the full depth of human suffering, intention, inequality, or transformation. Literature gives voice to these missing dimensions and therefore acts as a critical force that questions established norms and invites a more humane understanding of justice.

The works examined also show how literature brings attention to bias, inequality, procedural failure, and the unequal effects of legal systems. Whether it is the racial injustice in To Kill a Mockingbird, the delay and institutional burden in Bleak House, the opacity of authority in The Trial, the moral transformation in Les Misérables, the symbolic collapse of order in Lord of the Flies, or the social consequences of inheritance laws in Pride and Prejudice, each text offers a different way of understanding law in action. Together, they demonstrate that the study of law becomes richer when it is connected with narrative, empathy, and social context (Hollis 20).

The study therefore reaffirms that the intersection of law and literature provides valuable insight into the functioning of justice in society. It develops critical thinking, strengthens ethical reasoning, and encourages a broader appreciation of how legal principles operate within human experience. Most importantly, it reminds us that justice must be measured not only by the existence of rules but by the fairness, humanity, and social meaning of their application.

Future research in the field of law and literature can move in several productive directions. One important area is the inclusion of regional literature, contemporary writings, and non-Western texts. Such works can broaden the discussion by showing how different cultures understand justice, morality, authority, and legal conflict. This would prevent the field from remaining limited to a narrow canon and would allow more diverse experiences of law to enter academic discussion.

Further research may also compare literary narratives with actual case laws. Such comparative work can help clarify how fiction reflects, critiques, or even anticipates legal developments. It can show how themes such as wrongful conviction, gendered property rules, caste or racial bias, poverty, punishment, and institutional delay appear both in literature and in real legal systems. This connection would make the interdisciplinary value of law and literature more concrete.

Another useful direction is the study of literature in legal education. Stories can help law students develop empathy, interpretive skill, ethical judgment, and awareness of social context. Legal training often focuses on rules and reasoning, but literature can remind students that every legal matter involves human lives. Interdisciplinary collaboration among legal scholars, literary critics, sociologists, and educators can deepen this field further.

There is also scope to study adaptations of literary works into films, theatre, and digital media. These forms influence public imagination and often shape how people understand law, courts, punishment, crime, and justice. As society increasingly receives narratives through visual and digital platforms, such adaptations deserve serious attention. Overall, continued research in law and literature can contribute to a more holistic and human-centred understanding of law and its impact on society.

WORKS CITED

Primary Texts:

1. Austen, Jane. *Pride and Prejudice*. Edited by Vivien Jones, Penguin Classics, 2003.

2. Dickens, Charles. *Bleak House*. Penguin Books, 1971. Originally published 1853.

3. Dickens, Charles. *Oliver Twist*. Edited by Kathleen Tillotson, Clarendon Press, 1966.

4. Kafka, Franz. *The Trial*. Penguin Books, 2024.

5. Hugo, Victor. *Les Misérables*. Signet Classics, 1987.

6. Lee, Harper. *To Kill a Mockingbird*. 50th anniversary ed., Harper, 2010.

7. Golding, William. *Lord of the Flies*. Faber & Faber, 2011.

Journal Article:

1. Barnikana, Narasinga Rao. "Literature and Law: A Study on the Intersection of Literary Narratives and Legal Systems." *Samdarshi*, vol. 16, no. 2, 2023, pp. 238-243.

2. Chun-xi, Hu. "Law and Literature: Exploring the Intersection of Two Fields." *Journal of Literature and Art Studies*, vol. 13, no. 3, 2023, pp. 170-177.

3. Ghosh, Pritam. "Law and Literature: Intersections of Justice in English Literary Works." *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, vol. 6, no. 9, 2025, pp. 267-270.

4. Kazem, Md. Ali Morsad. "The Relationship Between Law and Literature: An Interdisciplinary Study." *Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Review*, vol. 3, no. 1, 2022, pp. 143-154.

5. Muddaraju. "A Study on Review of Literature in Legal Research: A Look." *International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts*, vol. 10, no. 1, 2022, pp. F800-F805.

6. Mushahary, Priyanka. "Inter-Connection between Law and Literature: A Study of Literary Representation in Law." *International Journal of Law Management and Humanities*, vol. 8, no. 5, 2025, pp. 1797-1801.

7. Westaby, Chris, and Emma Jones. "Empathy: An Essential Element of Legal Practice or 'Never the Twain Shall Meet'?" *International Journal of the Legal Profession*, vol. 25, no. 1, 2017, pp. 107-124.