The Criterion: An International Journal in English

Bi-Monthly Peer-Reviewed Open Access e-Journal

(ISSN: 0976-8165) Vol. 17, Issue-II, April 2026

www.the-criterion.com

Love, Letters, and Propaganda: Print Culture and Intellectual Resistance in The Stationery Shop of Tehran

Anindita Janhabee Swaro
Faculty,
Ravenshaw University, Cuttack.
aninditaj16@gmail.com
https://orcid.org/0009-0004-7398-6154

https://doi.org/10.66376/criterion.v17.n2.61

Abstract:

Resistance does not always come with guns and massacres. It often lies hidden in letters of love and pages of books. Marjan Kamali's The Stationery Shop of Tehran (2019) presents a compelling love story set against the backdrop of the 1953 Iranian coup d'état, one of the most consequential political crises in modern Middle Eastern history. This research paper analyses how the novel depicts print culture through books, letters, and classical Persian poetry, and how it presents intellectual resistance to state-sponsored propaganda. Looking at Jürgen Habermas's theory of the public sphere, Benedict Anderson's concept of imagined communities, and media-theoretical frameworks developed by Jacques Ellul and Noam Chomsky, this research paper interprets the stationery shop as a microcosm of civic dialogue, stating that propaganda cannot fully colonise. Historical analysis by Ervand Abrahamian, Stephen Kinzer, and Abbas Milani provide information on the political upheaval surrounding the coup. The paper further employs memory theory from Marianne Hirsch and Svetlana Boym to argue that personal letters and diaspora narratives resist historical erasure. The research paper aligns with the modernist theories of nationalism and gives an assertion that Kamali's novel focuses on how personal narratives preserve the human truth that official histories often tend to suppress. It states that literature, by sustaining emotional and moral complexity, functions as a counter-discourse to the ideological simplifications of authoritarian propaganda.

Keywords: Iran, Tehran, Resistance, Print, Letters.

Article History: Submitted-06/04/2026, Revised-21/04/2026, Accepted-24/04/2026, Published-30/04/2026.

Introduction: The Stationery Shop and the Reconstituting of Iranian Cultural Identity

In the opening chapters of The Stationery Shop of Tehran, the protagonist Roya stands at the threshold of a small stationery shop in 1953 Tehran and recognises it immediately as something more than a commercial space. “In that shop she could breathe in the scent of paper and ink and feel the promise of what words could do” (Kamali 3). This very sentence frames the novel’s central preoccupation: the capability of print culture - books, letters, poetry, and the material objects of writing. It is to sustain human meaning in times of acute political instability. The stationery shop is not just a setting but a symbolic element of the intellectual and civic life that the 1953 Iranian coup d’état would attempt to destroy.

The objective of the stationery shop as a gathering place for young intellectuals is to create a collective consciousness among its visitors and encourage them to regard the written word with the same devotion and love they hold for their closest relationships. This concept rightfully aligns with Benedict Anderson’s popular idea of the nation as an “imagined community,” formed through the shared imagination of its members (Anderson 6). However, Kamali’s approach is subtly distinct. While Anderson emphasises on the abstraction of print capitalism and horizontal solidarity, this novel grounds the national and cultural identity in intensely personal acts of reading, writing, and remembering. The stationery shop creates not just an imagined community of strangers but a community of individuals bound by their shared encounter with language.

The historical context of the novel becomes an absolute necessity to its thematic argument. In 1953, the democratically elected Prime Minister Mohammad Mossadegh was overthrown in a coup coordinated by the CIA and British intelligence. Historians widely agree that the operation deployed systematic propaganda to delegitimize Mossadegh’s government and manufacture popular consent for monarchical restoration (Abrahamian 5; Kinzer 162; Milani 214). Within such

an environment, print culture performed two different functions. First, official media became an instrument of ideological control, and second, private literary culture sustained an alternative vision of national identity. Scholars of Persian literary tradition argue that writing in Iran has long operated as both artistic expression and political critique, a duality that intensified precisely under authoritarian pressure (Talattof 12; Dabashi 47).

This research paper states that The Stationery Shop of Tehran clearly reflects how print culture functions as a form of intellectual resistance against the propaganda of the 1953 Iranian coup. By situating a love story within the symbolic space of a stationery shop, Kamali reveals how literature preserves humanistic perspectives and visions of national identity in times of ideological conflict. The novel's exploration of books, letters, and poetry reflects that written language possesses a capacity for truth that political discourse cannot replicate or suppress.

The research paper also states that the novel's engagement with Iranian political history throws light on the broader and more significant questions on the relationship between literature and power. By reading Kamali's text alongside Habermas' theory of the public sphere, Anderson's print culture theory, Ellulian propaganda analysis, and the memory scholarship of Marianne Hirsch and Svetlana Boym, this paper deepens the understanding of how literary narratives that are written from within the diasporic experience reconstruct the political histories that official accounts prevent or suppress.

Historical Context: The 1953 Iranian Coup and the Architecture of Propaganda

The political crisis that shapes Kamali's novel originates in the overthrow of Prime Minister Mohammad Mossadegh on 19 August 1953, an event that historians have consistently identified as a watershed in modern Iranian and Middle Eastern history. Mossadegh emerged as

the dominant figure in Iranian politics during the early 1950s through his commitment to democratic governance and national economic sovereignty. His most consequential act was the nationalisation of Iran's oil industry, which had been controlled by the British-owned Anglo-Iranian Oil Company. As Ervand Abrahamian documents in The Coup, this decision not only generated enormous domestic support but also provoked coordinated opposition from the British and American governments, whose economic interests in Iranian petroleum were directly threatened (Abrahamian 5–12).

The mechanisms of the coup are now extensively documented. Abrahamian states that the CIA and British intelligence orchestrated "a campaign of disinformation, bribery, and orchestrated street violence" designed to destabilise Mossadegh's government and justify monarchical restoration (5). Stephen Kinzer's All the Shah's Men provides a closely observed account of the propaganda operation's structure: paid agitators, compliant newspaper editors, fabricated religious proclamations, and manufactured mob violence were all deployed to portray Mossadegh as a communist threat and an enemy of Islam. Kinzer observes that the operation was designed to manipulate "the most volatile emotions in Iranian society: nationalism, religion, and fear of foreign domination" (162). By exploiting these deeply held cultural attachments, the coup's architects manufactured a crisis of legitimacy around a government that retained genuine popular support.

Abbas Milani's *The Shah* extends this analysis by situating the coup within Mohammad Reza Shah's longer project of authoritarian consolidation. Milani argues that after 1953, the monarchy relied systematically on "controlled media, secret police surveillance, and ideological conformity" to suppress democratic dissent and destroy the independent public sphere that Mossadegh's era had briefly sustained (214). This destruction of intellectual freedom made the survival of the private literary culture precarious and more politically significant.

Media theorist Jacques Ellul's framework provides the most theoretically precise account of how such propaganda operates. In Propaganda: The Formation of Men's Attitudes, he writes that propaganda functions most effectively by reducing the complex political realities into emotionally resonant slogans that rules out rational deliberation: "Propaganda must not concern itself with what is right but with what is successful" (11). This substitution of efficacy for truth is what makes propaganda fundamentally antagonistic to literary culture, which insists on complexity, ambiguity, and the irreducibility of individual experience. Noam Chomsky and Edward Herman's "propaganda model," developed in Manufacturing Consent, further shows how media systems reinforce dominant political interests by filtering information according to the requirements of power rather than the needs of democratic deliberation (Herman and Chomsky 2).

Kamali translates this historical atmosphere into precise sensory and social detail. Tehran's streets become theatres of manufactured political reality: "Slogans had been painted on walls overnight, and in the morning the city woke to find its face changed" (Kamali 89). The overnight transformation of public language and its reduction from argument to command registers with the detailed accuracy of the propagandistic aspect of public space. Against this backdrop of manufactured reality, the stationery shop stands as a counter-space. It becomes a location where the complexity and humanity that propaganda expels are preserved and sustained.

Understanding the 1953 coup's propaganda apparatus is, therefore, not merely a historical background for the novel but an essential condition against which the novel's central argument becomes legible. Kamali's text intervenes in the historical record not by correcting factual errors but by restoring the core of humanity i.e. the emotions and morality. This defines the fact that propaganda's reduction of people to political categories necessarily destroys humanity.

Print Culture and the Public Sphere: The Stationery Shop as Civic Space

The stationery shop is not simply the novel's setting but its governing symbol. As a space dedicated to the materials of writing like paper, ink, books, notebooks, and letters, it represents the material infrastructure of civil society: the tools through which citizens produce meaning, sustain relationships, and participate in a cultural life. This symbolic function corresponds closely to Jürgen Habermas's concept of the public sphere, developed in The Structural Transformation of the Public Sphere, as a domain of social life in which citizens engage in rational communicative exchange about matters of common concern (Habermas 27).

Habermas identifies the institutional foundations of the European bourgeois public sphere in the coffee houses, literary salons, and periodical culture of the eighteenth century. The stationery shop in the novel performs an analogous function in mid-century Tehran. Roya recognises its unusual social significance in terms that echo Habermas's ideal directly: "In the shop, it did not matter if you were the son of a minister or the daughter of a vegetable seller. The books treated everyone the same" (Kamali 27). The democratic quality of literary culture, with its principled indifference to social hierarchy, constitutes, in Habermasian terms, the communicative precondition for genuine civic exchange.

Nancy Fraser's influential critique of Habermas in "Rethinking the Public Sphere" further extends the concept productively by identifying the existence of multiple competing public spheres, including subaltern counter-publics where subordinated groups rehearse and develop oppositional identities and practices (Fraser 67). The stationery shop functions precisely as such a counter-public: a space where the democratic and literary values that the coup's architects seek to destroy are preserved and transmitted. When the shop is threatened by political violence, the

destruction is experienced not merely as material loss but as an attack on the civic fabric itself: "Without the shop, the neighbourhood felt as though it had lost its memory" (Kamali 201).

Benedict Anderson's theory of print capitalism offers a complementary perspective. Anderson argues in Imagined Communities that print media enabled people to "imagine" themselves as members of bounded communities sharing a common identity across time and space (44). In the context of Iran's nationalist movement, print culture, including books, newspapers, and literary journals, constituted an intellectual world in which citizens could participate in the idea of Iran as a modern democratic nation. Kamali's novel captures this dimension through Bahman, whose political awakening is inseparable from his engagement with printed texts. He arrives at the stationery shop not merely to purchase writing supplies but to participate in the intellectual culture it sustains: "Bahman always had a book under his arm, as though he needed it the way other men needed bread" (Kamali 41). The image of books as figures of sustenance reflects intellectual culture as a basic human need - one that propaganda threatens but cannot ultimately extinguish.

Elizabeth Eisenstein's landmark study The Printing Press as an Agent of Change identifies print technology as a fundamental catalyst for political and intellectual transformation, enabling ideas to circulate at speeds and scales previously impossible (Eisenstein 70). Robert Darnton's social history of books emphasizes the informal civic networks of readers, writers, booksellers, and correspondents that are formed around printed texts and constitute a kind of intellectual infrastructure that persists even when formal civic institutions are suppressed (Darnton 181). The stationery shop in this novel is such a node in this larger network. Its symbolic significance lies not in any individual text it contains but in its function as a gathering place for citizens who believe in the value of honest communication.

Literature as Resistance to Propaganda

One of the most fundamental arguments of The Stationery Shop of Tehran is the contrast between the operations of propaganda and the capacities of literature. The novel presents these two forms of written communication as fundamentally opposed in their relationships to human experience: propaganda simplifies, consolidates, and commands, whereas literature complicates, questions, and invites. This opposition is not merely thematic but structural, inscribed into the novel's formal organisation of language and plot.

Ellul's analysis provides the most precise theoretical account of propaganda's essential mechanism. "The propagandist builds his techniques on the basis of his knowledge of man, his tendencies, his desires, his needs," Ellul writes (4). Propaganda, on this account, is not a distortion of communication but an exploitation of its very conditions, because it weaponises the human need for meaning, community, and certainty. In the novel, the propaganda of the coup manifests through the systematic transformation of public language. Bahman's pamphlets, once filled with careful arguments about democracy and oil nationalisation, give way to slogans: "The walls spoke in commands now, not questions. Think. Obey. Fear" (Kamali 112). The shift from the argumentative to the imperative registers with structural precision the collapse of the public sphere under authoritarian pressure.

Against this reduction of language, the novel places the resources of Persian literary culture. Raymond Williams argues in Marxism and Literature that literary language preserves what he calls "structures of feeling" the layers of social experience that official ideologies cannot adequately represent (Williams 132). Terry Eagleton similarly argues in Literary Theory that literature's capacity for irony, ambiguity, and moral complexity makes it inherently resistant to ideological closure, since it maintains the possibility of meaning that exceeds any single

interpretive framework (Eagleton 207). In Kamali's novel, Persian poetry performs precisely this function of resistant complexity. Roya and Bahman exchange verses from Hafez and Rumi—classical poets whose work has sustained Persian cultural identity across centuries of political upheaval—not merely as aesthetic pleasure but as a form of cultural maintenance: "She whispered lines of Hafez to herself like a prayer, like a shield" (Kamali 143).

Scholars of Iranian literature have extensively documented the political dimensions of the Persian poetic tradition. Kamran Talattof argues in The Politics of Writing in Iran that poets have historically used metaphor, allegory, and oblique imagery to articulate dissent under conditions of censorship: "Poetry in Iran has always borne the burden of political expression that prose cannot safely carry" (89). Hamid Dabashi similarly emphasizes that Persian literature has functioned as a medium for the survival of cultural identity through successive episodes of political repression (Dabashi 47–53). This tradition of literary resistance appears throughout Kamali's narrative, particularly in moments when direct political speech becomes impossible.

The novel makes the structural opposition between propaganda and poetry most explicit when Bahman, increasingly consumed by political activism, abandons his earlier devotion to literature. Roya observes the transformation with mounting unease: "Where once he had quoted Hafez, now he quoted manifestos. The poetry had gone out of his voice" (Kamali 118). This passage crystallises the novel's most searching claim: the loss of literary language represents not political maturation but a dangerous impoverishment of moral and emotional intelligence. Propaganda does not merely compete with literature; it displaces the very capacity for nuanced thought that literature cultivates. Bahman's radicalisation is simultaneously his impoverishment, and Roya's grief at the "loss of his voice" is also a political elegy for the public sphere that the coup destroys.

The novel thus posits literature as a mode of resistance that operates not through direct confrontation but through preservation—the sustained maintenance of human complexity against the simplifications that political crisis generates. By continuing to read, quote, and exchange poetry even as the world around them fractures, the characters sustain an inner life that authoritarian control cannot fully colonise. This is, as Talattof and Dabashi both suggest, the deepest form of cultural resistance: not the refusal to obey but the refusal to be reduced.

Symbolism in the Novel: Objects, Spaces, and the Politics of Representation

The symbolic economy of The Stationery Shop of Tehran operates through a carefully organised network of objects and spaces that translate abstract political conflicts into the concrete textures of personal life. The novel's principal symbols—the stationery shop, letters, books, poetry, and the streets of Tehran—are not merely literary ornaments but the structural materials through which the narrative argues its central claims about literature, power, and memory.

The stationery shop functions as the novel's governing symbol, a condensation of everything that the coup attempts to destroy. Its significance derives not from any particular text it contains but from what it represents as a social institution: the conditions for honest communication between citizens who regard each other as equals. The shop owner, characterised as a quiet intellectual who curates his stock with deliberate care, embodies the figure of the cultural guardian—someone who preserves the infrastructure of civic exchange even as the political world around him deteriorates. When the shop is threatened, the loss registers at the level of collective identity rather than individual property: "Without the shop, the neighbourhood felt as though it had lost its memory" (Kamali 201). Memory, the novel implies, requires material support; it is not simply an interior faculty but a social practice sustained by shared spaces and objects.

Letters constitute the novel's most intimate symbolic register. In a political environment saturated with public slogans and mass-mediated ideology, the personal letter represents a form of address that is irreducibly individual: it is written by one person to one other person, and its meaning is constituted by the specific relationship it inhabits. Letters in the novel preserve what Roya describes as "the things we cannot say aloud in a city that has learned to listen for the wrong words" (Kamali 167). The letter's privacy is simultaneously its vulnerability and its political significance: it is a form of communication that evades the surveillance of the public sphere without abandoning the effort to communicate truth.

Books and poetry function as symbols of cultural continuity across political rupture. When Roya's family is forced to conceal certain volumes from political inspectors, the act of concealment transforms the books into contraband and, paradoxically, into objects of heightened significance: "Hidden books became sacred. To read them was an act of faith" (Kamali 178). This transformation echoes the historical reality of literary censorship under authoritarian regimes throughout the twentieth century. Across different political contexts, the suppression of books has consistently intensified their cultural authority: the forbidden text becomes a node of resistance precisely because political power has identified it as dangerous.

The streets of Tehran function as a contrasting symbolic space to the stationery shop's interiority. While the shop represents deliberation and authentic exchange, the streets during the coup become theatres of manufactured spectacle. The demonstrations organised by paid agitators transform public space into a medium of ideology: "The crowd moved as though it had one will, but Roya knew it had been assembled, not grown" (Kamali 131). This observation registers Roya's developing political literacy: she has learned to distinguish between genuine popular expression and the simulations of popular expression that propaganda produces. Her capacity for this

distinction is itself a product of literary culture, of the education in human complexity that the stationery shop has provided.

Edward Said's concept of contrapuntal reading, developed in Culture and Imperialism, suggests that literary texts often encode competing historical perspectives simultaneously, such that a properly attentive reading reveals the suppressed voices and experiences that dominant narratives exclude (Said 51). Homi K. Bhabha's theory of cultural hybridity, articulated in The Location of Culture, further illuminates how symbols located at the intersection of cultural traditions become sites of negotiation and resistance rather than simple conformity (Bhabha 38). Kamali's symbolic objects operate in precisely this contested space: the stationery shop, the letter, and the hidden book all embody the beauty of Persian intellectual culture and its active vulnerability to political destruction simultaneously.

Love Story as Political Narrative: Roya, Bahman, and the Grammar of Historical Trauma

The relationship between Roya and Bahman is not an ornament to the novel's historical argument but its structural heart. Their romance does not merely unfold against a political backdrop; it is itself a political narrative, shaped at every stage by the forces of history and constituting, in turn, an interpretive framework through which those forces become legible to the reader. Kamali employs the love story as what Stuart Hall calls a "signifying practice": a form of representation through which social relations are made visible and intelligible (Hall 342).

The relationship begins during a period of genuine political optimism. In 1953, many Iranians, particularly young intellectuals and students, believed that democratic reform was not just possible but imminent. Mossadegh's government represented the possibility of a modern and independent Iran that was governed by the rule of law and the will of a popular majority. Roya and Bahman's

early relationship is situated within this political hope. Its emotional tenor is inseparable from the historical moment that makes it possible. When Bahman first quotes poetry to Roya in the stationery shop, the gesture is simultaneously romantic and civic: "His voice was full of a future he had not yet doubted" (Kamali 55). The conditional past tense used here - "had not yet doubted" marks with quiet precision both the fullness of the moment and the historical knowledge, possessed by the reader if not yet by the character, that this future will be destroyed.

The coup does not merely interrupt the love story; it makes its completion impossible. Bahman's radicalisation, his alignment with political forces that ultimately abandon him, and his subsequent disappearance mirror the broader disintegration of democratic aspirations in Iranian society. Their separation is not the consequence of personal failure or individual weakness but of a political catastrophe – one of the external forces that determine, as Hall argues, "the conditions of possibility for personal life" (342). In this sense, Roya and Bahman's story is a structural allegory for the fate of Iranian democratic culture after 1953: a relationship of genuine promise, destroyed not by internal contradictions but by the intervention of external power.

Scholars of diaspora studies have consistently argued that personal narratives carry the emotional weight of historical displacement in ways that official histories cannot. Persis Karim argues that diaspora communities preserve memories of political upheaval through storytelling, cultural artefacts, and private ritual (Karim 77). Roya's later life outside Iran, structured around the buried memory of Bahman and the lost Tehran of her youth, exemplifies this pattern: she is a diaspora figure who carries within herself a version of Iranian democratic culture that the coup's architects wished to erase. Her nostalgia is not mere sentimentality but political testimony.

Marianne Hirsch's concept of postmemory, developed in The Generation of Postmemory, describes how traumatic historical experiences are transmitted across time through narrative,

photographs, and material objects. Hirsch argues that "memory, like postmemory, is not about the past but about the needs of the present" (5): memory is always a reconstruction shaped by present necessity as much as past experience. Roya's recollections of Bahman and the stationery shop are not simply nostalgic retrievals but active reconstructions, shaped by her ongoing need to preserve an alternative to the official narrative that the Iranian state has imposed on 1953 and its aftermath.

Svetlana Boym's distinction between restorative and reflective nostalgia is equally illuminating. Restorative nostalgia seeks to reconstruct a lost past in its original form; reflective nostalgia, by contrast, "cherishes shattered fragments of memory and temporalises space" rather than seeking to abolish the distance between past and present (Boym 49). Roya's mode of remembering is unmistakably reflective: she does not wish to restore an impossible past but to honour the emotional and ethical truths it contained. As Boym observes, this form of nostalgia is inherently subversive under authoritarian conditions, because it refuses the state's monopoly on historical meaning and preserves the possibility of alternative futures that official narratives foreclose (50).

The novel's structure enacts this argument formally. Its temporal movement between 1953 Tehran and the decades of Roya's later life - its constant oscillation between past and present refuses the linear narrative of historical progress that official accounts prefer. Each return to 1953 is simultaneously a recovery of emotional truth and an implicit challenge to the version of 1953 that the coup's beneficiaries have constructed. Roya's love story is, in this sense, not merely a private history but a counter-history: a form of historical testimony that preserves what political power has sought to bury.

Print Culture and Memory: Letters, Archives, and the Resistance to Erasure

Letters occupy a central and philosophically significant role in Kamali's exploration of memory and historical truth. Unlike official documents, political speeches, or propaganda, personal letters capture the intimate texture of individual experience during historical events: they record not what happened in the abstract sense that institutional history demands, but what it felt like to live through what happened. In this sense, letters constitute a form of historical testimony that official archives systematically exclude.

The letters exchanged between Roya and Bahman before the coup function in the novel as emotional archives. When Roya preserves these letters across decades and continents, she performs an act of historical preservation that parallels, at the individual level, the institutional work of archivists who conserve the documentary traces of political experience. The letters bear witness from within the historical moment they record: "In his letters she could hear 1953 breathe. Every line was dated not by the calendar but by hope" (Kamali 189). The double temporal reference here is dated simultaneously by the calendar and by the emotional register of political possibility. This situates the letter as an instrument capable of preserving the historical horizon of experience that official calendars cannot capture.

Marianne Hirsch's The Generation of Postmemory identifies material objects, including letters, photographs, artifacts as the primary vehicles through which traumatic historical experience is transmitted across time and between generations. Hirsch describes such objects as "complicating" artefacts: they mediate between personal memory and collective history, introducing into the transmission of the past a degree of complexity and resistance to simplification that purely narrative forms cannot sustain (33). The letters in this novel function in precisely this

manner: they are not transparent conduits of information but complicated objects whose survival and rediscovery become themselves historical events.

Boym's analysis in The Future of Nostalgia further illuminates the relationship between writing and memory. Reflective nostalgia, Boym states, "revels in the ambivalences of human longing and belonging and does not try to resolve the contradictions" (50). The letters in Kamali's novel preserve precisely this ambivalence: they record love without resolution, hope without confirmation, a relationship whose meaning was interrupted before it could be fully determined. By preserving this ambivalence rather than resolving it and by refusing the retrospective closure that official historical narratives impose, the letters maintain the openness of the past to alternative interpretations.

The novel presents the physical survival of written objects as itself a form of historical argument. When Roya finally reads the long-delayed letter that Bahman had tried to send her, she understands that its survival across decades and political upheavals constitutes a form of testimony that political power has failed to suppress: "The letter had outlasted the revolution. It had outlasted empires. It had waited for her the way only paper can wait" (Kamali 273). The personification of paper as a witness capable of patient waiting figures written language as possessing a form of historical agency: the capacity to endure across the catastrophes that destroy political structures and individual lives, and to deliver its testimony to a future that could not be anticipated when it was written.

Print culture's relationship to memory thus extends from the individual to the collective. Books, letters, and poetry together constitute what cultural memory theorists might call a mnemonic community: a group whose shared relationship to written texts enables the construction and preservation of a common identity in the face of official attempts at historical erasure. In this

novel, this community is simultaneously Persian, feminist, and democratic – defined by its devotion to the humanistic values that propaganda seeks to supplant. The stationery shop is its institutional home; letters and poetry are its medium; memory is its mode of survival.

Conclusion

This research paper, The Stationery Shop of Tehran, thus, can be seen as a sustained literary argument about the relationship between print culture and political power in the context of the 1953 Iranian coup. Marjan Kamali's novel illustrates how literary fiction can function as a form of intellectual resistance against propaganda - not by directly opposing political authority but by preserving the human complexity that authoritarian ideology systematically destroys. The stationery shop itself, as a symbolic public sphere sustained by books, letters, and poetry, represents the material and social infrastructure of democratic culture that the coup attempts to dismantle.

The analysis has demonstrated that the novel's engagement with print culture operates across several interlocking registers. At the level of the public sphere, the stationery shop functions as a Habermasian counter-space where civic communication persists despite ideological pressure. At the level of national identity, it instantiates what Anderson calls the "imagined community" of print capitalism, connecting individuals through shared cultural participation in Iranian literary heritage. At the level of political epistemology, it embodies the contrast theorised by Ellul, Williams, and Eagleton between propaganda's reductive certainties and literature's irreducible complexities. At the level of memory, it constitutes, in Hirsch's and Boym's terms, a site of postmemorial testimony where the emotional truths of traumatic political experience are preserved against historical erasure.

The novel's exploration of the love story between Roya and Bahman demonstrates that personal narratives, embedded within historical contexts of political catastrophe, illuminate the human consequences of political decisions in ways that official histories cannot. As Roya reflects near the novel's conclusion: "History was what the powerful wrote. But love was what survived in the margins, in the letters, in the lines of poetry we memorised so no one could take them away" (Kamali 289). This formulation captures the novel's central theoretical claim with unusual precision: literary culture survives not by confronting political power directly but by inhabiting the spaces, the margins, the letters, the memorised poem - that power cannot fully occupy.

Moreover, the Oriya nationalist leaders and writers employed a discourse that balanced self-assertion with a nuanced engagement with colonial modernity. Similarly, Kamali's narrative oscillates between grief for what was lost and affirmation of what survived: not an uncomplicated celebration of literary culture's power but a rigorously honest account of both its capacities and its limitations. The stationery shop is destroyed; Bahman disappears; decades of Roya's life are consumed by unanswered grief. Literature does not prevent these losses. What it does and what the novel argues it uniquely can do is preserve the human truth of their occurrence against the official narratives that would explain them away.

The themes of Kamali's novel acquire additional relevance in the contemporary moment. Iran continues to experience political repression, and movements within the country that have repeatedly drawn on poetry, literature, and private writing as forms of dissent. The novel's insight, that the act of reading and writing can itself constitute political resistance, finds continual confirmation in the experience of those who inhabit the authoritarian conditions.

Ultimately, The Stationery Shop of Tehran affirms that literature possesses a capacity for truth-telling that political discourse, particularly in its propagandistic forms, cannot replicate.

Through letters, books, memory, and love, the novel demonstrates that print culture can resist propaganda, sustain intellectual freedom, and illuminate the irreducibly human dimensions of political history. In doing so, it makes the case for literature itself as an indispensable and irreplaceable form of historical knowledge.

Works Cited:

Abrahamian, Ervand. The Coup: 1953, the CIA, and the Roots of Modern U.S.-Iranian Relations. New Press, 2013.

Anderson, Benedict. Imagined Communities: Reflections on the Origin and Spread of Nationalism. Revised ed., Verso, 2006.

Bhabha, Homi K. The Location of Culture. Routledge, 1994.

Boym, Svetlana. The Future of Nostalgia. Basic Books, 2001.

Calhoun, Craig, editor. Habermas and the Public Sphere. MIT Press, 1992.

Chatterjee, Partha. The Nation and Its Fragments: Colonial and Postcolonial Histories. Princeton UP, 1993.

---. Nationalist Thought and the Colonial World: A Derivative Discourse. Zed Books, 1986.

Dabashi, Hamid. Iran: A People Interrupted. New Press, 2007.

Darnton, Robert. The Case for Books: Past, Present, and Future. PublicAffairs, 2009.

Eagleton, Terry. Literary Theory: An Introduction. 3rd ed., Blackwell, 2008.

Eisenstein, Elizabeth L. The Printing Press as an Agent of Change. Cambridge UP, 1979.

Ellul, Jacques. Propaganda: The Formation of Men's Attitudes. Translated by Konrad Kellen and Jean Lerner, Vintage Books, 1973.

Fraser, Nancy. “Rethinking the Public Sphere: A Contribution to the Critique of Actually Existing Democracy.” Social Text, no. 25/26, 1990, pp. 56–80.

Gasiorowski, Mark J., and Malcolm Byrne, editors. Mohammad Mosaddeq and the 1953 Coup in Iran. Syracuse UP, 2004.

Habermas, Jürgen. The Structural Transformation of the Public Sphere: An Inquiry into a Category of Bourgeois Society. Translated by Thomas Burger, MIT Press, 1989.

Hall, Stuart. Representation: Cultural Representations and Signifying Practices. Sage, 1997.

Herman, Edward S., and Noam Chomsky. Manufacturing Consent: The Political Economy of the Mass Media. Pantheon, 2002.

Hirsch, Marianne. The Generation of Postmemory: Writing and Visual Culture after the Holocaust. Columbia UP, 2012.

Johns, Adrian. The Nature of the Book: Print and Knowledge in the Making. U of Chicago P, 1998.

Kamali, Marjan. The Stationery Shop of Tehran. Gallery Books, 2019.

Karim, Persis M. Let Me Tell You Where I’ve Been: New Writing by Women of the Iranian Diaspora. U of Arkansas P, 2006.

Katouzian, Homa. The Persians: Ancient, Mediaeval and Modern Iran. Yale UP, 2009.

Khorrami, Mohammad Mehdi. Literary Subterfuge and Contemporary Persian Fiction. Routledge, 2014.

Kinzer, Stephen. All the Shah’s Men: An American Coup and the Roots of Middle East Terror. 2nd ed., Wiley, 2008.

Milani, Abbas. The Shah. Palgrave Macmillan, 2011.

Nanquette, Laetitia. Orientalism versus Occidentalism: Literary and Cultural Imaging between France and Iran since the Islamic Revolution. I.B. Tauris, 2013.

Pedro-Carañana, Joan, et al., editors. The Propaganda Model Today: Filtering Perception and Awareness. U of Westminster P, 2018.

Said, Edward W. Culture and Imperialism. Vintage Books, 1993.

Smith, Anthony D. National Identity. U of Nevada P, 1991.

Talattof, Kamran. The Politics of Writing in Iran: A History of Modern Persian Literature. Syracuse UP, 1999.

Williams, Raymond. Marxism and Literature. Oxford UP, 1977.