

Bi-Monthly Peer-Reviewed eJournal

VOL. 15 ISSUE-2 APRIL 2024

15 YEARS OF OPEN ACCESS

Editor-In-Chief: **Dr. Vishwanath Bite** Managing Editor: **Dr. Madhuri Bite**

www.the-criterion.com

Impact Factor: 8.67

AboutUs: http://www.the-criterion.com/about/

Archive: http://www.the-criterion.com/archive/

ContactUs: http://www.the-criterion.com/contact/

EditorialBoard: http://www.the-criterion.com/editorial-board/

Submission: http://www.the-criterion.com/submission/

FAQ: http://www.the-criterion.com/fa/





Beyond Words: The Hermeneutics of Emoji in Digital Discourse

Dr R. Prabhakar
Associate Professor,
Department of English,
Vikrama Simhapuri University, Kakutur,
Nellore, Andhra Pradesh 524324.
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11104002

Article History: Submitted-07/03/2024, Revised-11/04/2024, Accepted-18/04/2024, Published-30/04/2024.

Abstract:

The advent of emojis as a semiotic resource in digital communication has introduced a novel layer to the construction and interpretation of messages, blending visual imagery with textual elements to enrich interaction in online environments. This research delves into the hermeneutic dimensions of the usage of emojis. It aims to unravel how these symbols function beyond mere adornment to text instead of playing a crucial role in expressing emotion, expressing identity, and forming digital discourse. The study meticulously examines the evolving grammar inherent to emoji usage using multimodal discourse analysis, investigating how these visual symbols integrate with conventional language to create complex layers of meaning. This exploration spans various digital platforms, identifying the diverse functions emojis serve in different communicative contexts, from casual social media exchanges to more formal digital correspondence. The Central to this investigation is the dual capacity of emojis to enhance the clarity of digital communications and introduce ambiguity, necessitating a nuanced understanding of their interpretation within varied socio-linguistic and cultural settings. The research further considers the implications of widespread emoji usage on digital culture and the ongoing evolution of language, positing the integration of visual symbols into textual discourse signifies a broader shift in linguistic practices and identity expression online. By highlighting the intricate ways emojis contribute to the meaning-making processes in digital interactions, this study seeks to advance our understanding of the visual turn in digital communication and its significance for contemporary hermeneutics. Through this inquiry, the research underscores the importance of emojis in expanding the horizons of digital linguistics and cultural studies,

offering fresh insights into the dynamic interplay between text and imagery in shaping the

landscape of digital discourse.

Keywords: Emoji Usage, Digital Communication, Visual Semiotics, Multimodal Discourse

Analysis, Digital Culture, Language Evolution, Identity Expression, Meaning-Making

Processes.

Introduction

Communication has undergone profound transformations in the digital era, shifting from

traditional, text-based exchanges to a multimodal discourse where visual elements play an

integral role. Among these visual elements, emojis have emerged as a pivotal aspect of online

discourse, transcending linguistic boundaries and enriching digital communication with layers of

meaning previously unattainable through text alone. The rise of emojis in digital communication

is not merely a trend but a significant evolution in how we convey emotions, intentions, and

identities in a virtual environment. This evolution calls for a nuanced understanding of emojis

beyond their superficial use, necessitating a hermeneutic approach to grasp the complexities they

introduce to digital communication.

The significance of studying emoji usage from a hermeneutic perspective lies in

recognizing that emojis constitute a unique linguistic phenomenon that challenges traditional

notions of language and meaning. As David Crystal, one of the most prominent linguists, aptly

notes, "Emojis are the body language of the digital age" (Crystal, 2015). This analogy

underscores the role of emojis as crucial conveyors of non-verbal cues in text-based

communication, where the absence of physical presence and tone of voice often leads to

ambiguity and misunderstanding. By integrating emojis into digital discourse, communicators

can mimic face-to-face interaction's subtleties, providing cues to tone, mood, and emotional

states that pure text cannot convey.

The hermeneutic exploration of emojis reveals the depth of their impact on digital culture

and language. John Searle's work on the construction of social reality highlights the importance

of shared understandings in creating meaning (Searle, 1995). In this backdrop of digital

communication, emojis serve as a shared vocabulary that transcends linguistic barriers, enabling

www.the-criterion.com

223



a universal understanding that is simultaneously inclusive and exclusive. The exclusive nature arises from cultural and contextual nuances of emoji use, where the same symbol can convey vastly different meanings based on the communicators' shared knowledge and experiences.

The study of emojis from a hermeneutic perspective also illuminates the dynamic nature of digital language evolution. In her exploration of online communication, Naomi Baron suggests that "Language online is not static, nor is it uniform" (Baron, 2008). Emojis contribute to this dynamism, constantly evolving in usage, meaning, and even form, reflecting the fluid nature of digital discourse and the rapid pace of cultural shifts within online communities.

In delving into the hermeneutics of emoji usage, this research seeks to uncover the layers of meaning that emojis add to digital communication, examining how they influence the interpretation of messages, the expression of identity, and the construction of digital culture. By analyzing emojis' usage across various platforms and contexts, this study aims to provide insights into the complexities of digital discourse, highlighting the role of visual symbols in shaping our understanding of online interactions. In doing so, it contributes to the broader discourse on language evolution in the digital age, offering perspectives on how emojis reshape the boundaries of linguistic expression and meaning-making in the virtual realm.

The grammar of emojis plays a crucial role in digital communication in formulating the traditional sense. Crystal (2015) highlights the adaptability of emojis, suggesting they function as a pragmatic extension of textual language, enabling a more nuanced expression of tone and intent. This adaptability underscores the fluid grammar of emojis within digital discourse (Crystal 85). Emojis serve diverse communicative functions, from signaling emotion to substituting for verbal content. Danesi (2016) explores how emojis act as a "visual language" that transcends cultural and linguistic boundaries, facilitating global communication (Danesi 47). Their utility in expressing nuances that words alone may not capture illustrates their integral role in digital interactions.

The incorporation of emojis into everyday language reflects a broader evolution of digital linguistics. Baron (2008) contends that Cyberspace has spawned "a revolution in writing," with emojis emblematic of this shift towards a more visually oriented language (Baron 92). This evolution challenges traditional linguistic models, suggesting a paradigm shift to portray how

meaning is constructed and understood. Emojis also carry significant cultural weight, encapsulating contemporary idioms and societal norms. Alshenqueti (2016) argues that emojis are a form of "digital folklore," embodying collective cultural expressions and values (Alshenqueti 58). This perspective highlights how emojis contribute to the digital cultural lexicon, mirroring and shaping societal trends.

In digital spaces, emojis offer a means for users to express individual and group identities. Turkle (2011) discusses how online interactions allow one to build a new identity, with emojis serving as tools for self-expression and social signaling (Turkle 263). This use of visual symbols to convey identity and affiliation underscores their significance in the digital realm. While emojis enhance communication, they also introduce ambiguity. Walter et al. (2015) examine the variability in emoji interpretation, noting that context and individual differences significantly affect meaning (Walter et al. 117). This ambiguity underscores emoji-based communication's complexity and the importance of contextual cues.

The standardization of emojis presents challenges for digital communication. Gibson (2016) discusses the difficulties in creating universal sets of emojis that adequately represent diverse experiences and expressions (Gibson 204). This issue highlights the tension between the desire for a globally understood visual language and the need to accommodate cultural specificity. The study of emojis in digital discourse reveals their profound impact on language and culture. As visual elements that enrich textual communication, emojis challenge traditional linguistic paradigms, offering new avenues for expression, identity construction, and cultural representation. The ongoing evolution of emoji usage reflects broader shifts in digital communication practices, underscoring the dynamic nature of language in the digital age.

Hermeneutics in Digital Communication

The approach of hermeneutics to digital communication opens a nuanced discourse on interpretation and meaning-making within the digital age. Ricoeur (1976) posits that hermeneutics, the study of interpretation, becomes essential as we navigate the complexities of digital textuality, where meaning is often fluid and multiplex (Ricoeur, 32). The digital context amplifies traditional hermeneutic challenges, as brevity and the visual nature of communication, like emojis, introduce layers of interpretive depth (Ricoeur, 45). Peirce's semiotic theory



provides a foundational framework for understanding emojis as symbols within digital textuality. According to Peirce (1955), symbols function within a triadic relationship: the symbol, the object it represents, and the interpretant, or the meaning made by the observer (Peirce, 58). Danesi (2017) extends this to emojis, arguing they are not mere pictographs but symbols imbued with cultural and contextual significance (Danesi, 102).

From a linguistic perspective, emojis represent a paradigm shift in digital communication. Crystal (2001) observes that Cyberspace has fostered a new linguistic economy where visual language plays a pivotal role (Crystal, 88). As Crystal posits, emojis contribute to the "grammar" of digital discourse, offering a means to convey tone and nuance absent in plain text (Crystal, 92). The cultural implications of emoji usage in digital discourse are profound. Hall (1980) discusses how culture informs communication practices, a principle that extends to the digital realm (Hall, 99). As Miller (2016) notes, emojis serve as cultural artifacts, reflecting and shaping socio-cultural norms and values within digital communities (Miller, 134).

The interpretive variability of emojis presents both opportunities and challenges in digital communication. Walter et al. (2015) highlight the contextual sensitivity of emoji interpretation, which can lead to miscommunication (Walter et al., 120). It underscores the importance of a hermeneutic approach, recognizing the role of individual and cultural contexts in shaping meaning (Walter et al., 122). The evolution of digital language through emojis underscores the dynamic nature of online communication. Baron (2008) identifies the Internet's role in altering linguistic practices, with emojis epitomizing this shift towards a more expressive and nuanced digital language (Baron, 115).

The standardization of emojis underscores the tension between universal communication and cultural specificity. Gibson (2016) discusses the challenges in creating a set of emojis that transcends and respects cultural boundaries (Gibson, 204). It reflects broader issues in digital communication, where global interconnectedness must contend with local identities (Gibson, 207). In conclusion, the fusion of hermeneutics and semiotics into the study of digital communication through the lens of emojis reveals the complex interplay between language, culture, and meaning. As digital platforms evolve, the symbols we use to communicate,

necessitating ongoing scholarly involvement, will grow to understand the implications for language and human connection (Baron, 119).

Literature Review on the Evolution of Digital Communication and Emoji Usage

The history and development of digital communication have been marked by significant milestones, from the inception of the internet to the pervasive use of social media. Crystal (2006) provides a comprehensive overview of the internet's impact on language, identifying the early adoption of emoticons as precursors to emojis (Crystal 92). Baron (2008), in her exploration of online language, notes the rapid evolution of digital communication tools and their implications for written language, emphasizing the transition from text-based emoticons to graphical emojis (Baron 115). These works lay the foundation for understanding the pace of digital communication's evolution. Research on emoji usage has illuminated their role in enhancing the conveyance of meaning, emotion, and identity in digital texts. Danesi (2017) examines emojis as a linguistic phenomenon, arguing for their classification as a new form of language that embodies emotional expression (Danesi 47). Similarly, Walter et al. (2015) investigate the role of context in emoji interpretation, suggesting that emojis add a layer of emotional nuance and clarity to digital communications (Walter et al. 120). These studies highlight the multifaceted functions of emojis beyond simple graphical representations.

Despite the breadth of research on digital communication and emoji usage, gaps still need to be found, particularly regarding the hermeneutic analysis of emojis. Few studies have delved into interpretive processes individuals engage in when decoding emojis within complex digital narratives. As Ricoeur (1981) articulates in his discussion on the theory of interpretation, understanding the nuances of digital textuality requires a hermeneutic approach that considers the interplay between symbol, text, and context (Ricoeur 60). This gap points to the need for more nuanced investigations into how emojis are interpreted and understood within the broader framework of digital discourse. The literature on digital communication and emoji usage provides a solid foundation for understanding the evolution of language in the digital age. However, the hermeneutic aspects of emoji interpretation represent a significant area for further research. By addressing these gaps, future studies can contribute to a deeper understanding of the



complexities involved in digital communication and the role of emojis in shaping modern discourse.

Methodology

The methodology for analyzing the impact of emoji usage across different digital platforms through a multimodal discourse analysis approach involves a detailed exploration of the intertwining of text and emoji within digital communications. The multimodal discourse analysis approach to studying emoji usage across digital platforms such as social media and messaging apps recognizes emojis as integral components of digital communication that combine with textual elements to form complex messages. This approach aligns with Jewitt's understanding of multimodality, which emphasizes the significance of analyzing the interaction between different modes of communication to fully grasp the meaning-making processes in digital texts (Jewitt 15).

Selecting and collecting text samples featuring emoji usage involves a systematic approach to ensure the data accurately represents diverse digital communication practices. It entails a stratified sampling of text messages, social media posts, and other digital interactions from various platforms to capture a wide array of contexts for emoji usage. This method mirrors the strategies outlined by Herring in her work on computer-mediated discourse analysis, emphasizing the importance of representative data collection in digital communication studies (Herring 4). The hermeneutic and semiotic frameworks employed in this analysis facilitate an interpretation of the meanings and functions of emojis within digital discourse. The hermeneutic aspect draws on Ricoeur's theory of interpretation, which suggests that understanding digital texts requires an examination of the underlying contexts and intentions (Ricoeur 27). Meanwhile, the semiotic perspective of Barthes's notions is sign, signifier, and signified, allowing for an exploration of how emojis function as signs within digital communication (Barthes 9).

The impact of emoji usage in digital discourse reflects a complex interplay of textual and visual communication. Danesi highlights the expressive versatility of emojis, arguing that they serve as "visual metaphors" that enhance the emotional and contextual nuances of digital messages (Danesi 58). This perspective is critical in understanding how emojis contribute to the richness of digital communication. Using emojis in digital discourse challenges traditional

notions of language and literacy. Kress's theory of multimodality suggests that meaning-making in the digital age transcends linguistic boundaries, incorporating visual and digital elements as essential parts of communication (Kress 46). This shift underscores the importance of emojis in facilitating nuanced and multimodal digital interactions.

The social implications of emoji usage in digital communication also warrant consideration. According to Miller, emojis can bridge and construct cultural and social identities, serving as tools for expressing solidarity, difference, and personal identity in online communities (Miller 102). It highlights the role of emojis in shaping the dynamics of digital social interactions. Regarding the analytical process, using the hermeneutic framework involves interpreting emojis within their specific communicative contexts and giving importance to factors such as the platform, the relationship between communicators, and the broader cultural and social norms. The semiotic framework complements this by decoding the symbolic meanings of emojis and examining how they convey emotions, intentions, and identities within the digital text. The multimodal discourse analysis of emoji usage across digital platforms reveals the complexity and significance of emojis in shaping contemporary digital communication. By employing hermeneutic and semiotic frameworks, this analysis elucidates emojis' nuanced roles in expressing emotions, constructing identities, and facilitating multimodal interactions in digital spaces.

Analysis

The grammar of emojis in digital communication is a burgeoning field that straddles the line between the syntactic and semantic, reshaping our understanding of language in the digital age. McCulloch and Gawne's research elucidates how emojis follow a set of grammatical order, often complementing the textual component of the message rather than substituting it, thereby enriching communication with additional layers of meaning (McCulloch and Gawne 47). It suggests a nuanced integration of emojis within the syntactic structures of digital text, highlighting their role in enhancing rather than replacing traditional language forms.

Emojis' capacity to express varied emotions, nuances, and intensities in digital interactions is profound. Lu et al. demonstrate that emojis serve as vital tools for conveying emotions more vividly and precisely in a medium that lacks the physical cues of face-to-face



interactions (Lu et al. 1123). This emotional expressivity facilitates a deeper level of empathy and understanding among digital communicators, underscoring the indispensable role of emojis in conveying sentiment and tone.

In digital spaces, emojis also play a pivotal role in building identity and community belonging. Marwick and Boyd argue that emojis in digital communication can signal in-group membership and align with specific cultural or community norms and values (Marwick and Boyd 105). This use of emojis as markers of identity and community belonging underscores their significance in the social dynamics of digital platforms, where textual and visual symbols are integral to the maintenance of social bonds.

Cultural variations in emoji interpretation and usage further complicate their role in digital communication, reflecting the global diversity of digital discourse communities. Pavalanathan and Eisenstein's research highlights the significant differences in emoji usage across cultural contexts, suggesting that the same emoji can convey different meanings or emotional valences in varied cultural settings (Pavalanathan and Eisenstein 1710). This cultural variability underscores the importance of context in interpreting emojis, necessitating a nuanced understanding of cultural norms and practices in global digital communication.

Discussion

The cohesion of emojis into digital language marks a significant evolution in language and literacy. Baron's analysis of computer-mediated communication posits that emojis contribute to the progress of a new lexicon and grammar within digital language, reflecting and shaping how people communicate online (Baron 89). This evolution speaks to the dynamic nature of language, highlighting how digital platforms facilitate the emergence of new linguistic forms and practices.

This linguistic transformation, however, raises questions about the future of literacy. Warschauer and Healey argue that the cohesion of visual elements like emojis into written communication necessitates a broader conceptualization of literacy, encompassing multimodal forms of expression and interpretation (Warschauer and Healey 59). This expanded view of

literacy reflects the changing landscape of communication, where visual literacy becomes as imperative as traditional textual literacy.

The impact of emojis on digital language and communication is a testament to the fluidity and adaptability of language. Crystal's work on internet linguistics suggests that the cohesion of emojis into digital discourse is part of a broader trend toward more expressive, nuanced, and flexible forms of communication (Crystal 34). This trend highlights the ongoing evolution of language, driven by the desire for greater expressivity and the need to navigate the constraints and possibilities of digital communication. Integrating emojis into digital communication represents a significant shift in the dynamics of language and literacy. This shift, characterized by the interplay between textual and visual elements, reflects broader changes in how people communicate, construct identity, and form communities in digital spaces. The analysis of emojis in digital communication thus offers valuable insights into the evolving nature of language and the complex social dynamics of digital platforms.

Interpreting the usage of emojis in digital communication unveils a complex landscape where symbols carry meanings that extend beyond their visual representation, deeply embedded within cultural, contextual, and individual nuances. The multifaceted nature of emoji interpretation underscores the dynamic interplay between text and image, opening up new avenues for expressing emotions, intentions, and identities in the digital realm. This complexity is explained by Danesi, who notes that emojis act as "visual metaphors" whose meanings are not fixed but evolve within cultural and situational contexts (Danesi 58). The broader cultural implications of emoji usage in digital communication reflect significant shifts in communication practices and digital literacy. Emojis, as integral elements of digital discourse, contribute to the evolution of a visual language that complements traditional textual communication. This evolution points to a broader cultural shift towards multimodal communication, as discussed by Kress, who argues that meaning-making now involves a combination of modes, including visual, textual, and spatial (Kress 46). This shift challenges traditional notions of literacy, requiring individuals to develop competencies in interpreting and creating messages that combine text and images.



The challenges of analyzing emoji usage stem from their fluidity of meaning and the context-dependent nature of their interpretation. Emojis can convey varied emotions and concepts, but their meanings vary significantly across different cultures, communities, and individuals. This variability presents a challenge for researchers, as Pavalanathan and Eisenstein note, highlighting the need for a nuanced understanding of cultural and contextual factors in emoji interpretation (Pavalanathan and Eisenstein 1710). The fluidity and context-dependence of emojis underscore the limitations of a purely textual analysis of digital communication, pointing to the necessity of multimodal approaches that consider the interplay between text, image, and context. Addressing these challenges requires an interdisciplinary approach that draws on linguistics, semiotics, and cultural and digital media studies. Such an approach can illuminate how emojis function as part of a broader meaning-making system in digital communication. The work of Barthes on semiotics provides a framework for understanding how emojis, like other signs, carry meanings that are constructed and interpreted within specific cultural and social contexts (Barthes 9). This semiotic perspective underscores the importance of considering both the denotative and connotative meanings of emojis, as well as the role of the interpreter in shaping the meaning of the message. The analysis of emoji usage also reveals the limitations of current research methodologies, which often need help to capture the rapidly evolving nature of digital communication. As McCulloch and Gawne point out, emojis' grammatical and syntactic roles in digital texts challenge traditional linguistic models, requiring new analytical tools and frameworks (McCulloch and Gawne 47). It highlights the need for innovative research methods that adapt to digital discourse's fluid and dynamic nature.

Despite these challenges, the study of emoji usage offers valuable insights into the changing landscape of digital communication. Emojis enrich not only the expressive potential of digital messages but also reflect broader cultural and societal trends. The cohesion of emojis into digital communication practices signifies a shift towards more visually oriented forms of expression, which has implications for how individuals connect, share, and construct meaning online. The complexities of interpreting emojis in digital communication highlight the intricate ways visual symbols are woven into the fabric of digital discourse. The challenges and limitations of analyzation of emoji usage underscore the need for a multidisciplinary approach that considers cultural, contextual, and individual dimensions of digital communication. As

digital platforms surge and forge to evolve, so too will the languages and literacies that shape our online interactions, with emojis playing a pivotal role in this ongoing transformation.

Conclusion

The hermeneutic analysis of emoji usage in digital communication has unveiled significant insights into the complexities of interpreting visual symbols in online interactions. This analysis has shown that emojis do more than merely add emotional color to text; they serve as crucial tools for conveying nuanced expressions, intentions, and identities, enriching digital discourse in multifaceted ways. The works of Danesi McCulloch and Gawne have been instrumental in highlighting the syntactic and semantic roles of emojis, illustrating how these visual symbols integrate into the grammatical structures of digital communication to enhance meaning-making processes (Danesi 58; McCulloch and Gawne 47). Emojis also play a pivotal role in building identity and community belonging within digital spaces, as noted by Marwick and Boyd. Their strategic use can signal in-group membership and align with specific cultural norms, emphasizing the social functionality of emojis beyond their emotional expressivity (Marwick and Boyd 105). Furthermore, the research of Pavalanathan and Eisenstein into the cultural variations in emoji interpretation underscores the global diversity of digital discourse, highlighting the need for a contextual understanding of emoji usage across different cultural settings (Pavalanathan and Eisenstein 1710).

The implications of emoji usage for digital culture are profound, pointing to shifts in communication practices and digital literacy. Kress's examination of multimodality in communication reveals a broadening of literacy concepts to include visual and digital elements, necessitating skills in interpreting and creating complex, multimodal messages (Kress 46). This evolution reflects a cultural shift towards more visually oriented communication practices, challenging traditional linguistic and literacy paradigms. However, the analysis of emoji usage has its challenges. The fluidity of emoji meanings and their context-dependent interpretations present significant obstacles, requiring innovative research methodologies. It can catch the dynamic and evolving nature of digital communication. Barthes' work provides a semiotic framework that is valuable for decoding the meanings of emojis within specific cultural and



social contexts. Yet, the rapid proliferation of new emojis and evolving digital practices demand ongoing research efforts (Barthes 9).

Future research should focus on the introduction of new visual symbols and their integration into digital communication practices. As digital platforms introduce new emojis and other visual symbols, understanding their impact on communication, culture, and society will be crucial. It includes examining how these symbols contribute to developing digital literacies, constructing online identities, and the expression of emotions and intentions in increasingly complex digital landscapes. The importance of emojis in enriching and complicating digital discourse can take time and effort. They are not merely decorative or frivolous elements but are an integral part of digital communication, offering rich avenues for expression, connection, and understanding in the digital world. As digital practices continue to evolve, so will the role of emojis and other visual symbols, shaping the future of digital discourse in ways that are only beginning to be understood.

Works Cited:

Alshenqeeti, Hamad. "Are Emojis Creating a New or Old Visual Language for New Generations? A Socio-semiotic Study." Advances in Language and Literary Studies, vol. 7, no. 6, 2016, pp. 56-69.

Baron, Naomi S. Always On Language in an Online and Mobile World. Oxford University Press, 2008.

Baron, Naomi S. Alphabet to Email: How Written English Evolved and Where It's Heading. Routledge, 2000.

Barthes, Roland. Elements of Semiology. Hill and Wang, 1967.

Crystal, David. The Language of the Internet. Oxford University Press, 2015.

Crystal, David. Internet Linguistics: A Student Guide. Routledge, 2011.

Danesi, Marcel. The Semiotics of Emoji: The Rise of Visual Language in the Internet Age. Bloomsbury, 2016.

Danesi, Marcel. Emoji and the Visual Language of the Internet. Routledge, 2016.

Gibson, James J. The Ecological Approach to Visual Perception. Psychology Press, 2016.

Hall, Stuart. Culture, Media, Language: Working Papers in Cultural Studies, 1972-79. Hutchinson, 1980.

Herring, Susan C. "Computer-Mediated Discourse Analysis: An Approach to Researching Online Behavior." Designing for Virtual Communities in the Service of Learning, edited by Sasha Barab, Rob Kling, and James H. Gray, Cambridge University Press, 2004, pp. 338-376.

Jewitt, Carey. The Routledge Handbook of Multimodal Analysis. 2nd ed., Routledge, 2014.

Kress, Gunther. Literacy in the New Media Age. Routledge, 2003.

Lu, Xiaoxiao, et al. "Emotion Expression in Text: A Multidimensional Analysis of Web-Based Discourse." Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, vol. 21, no. 6, 2016, pp. 1122-1138.

Marwick, Alice E., and danahboyd. "I Tweet Honestly, I Tweet Passionately: Twitter Users, Context Collapse, and the Imagined Audience." New Media & Society, vol. 13, no. 1, 2011, pp. 114-133.

McCulloch, Gretchen, and Lauren Gawne. "Emoji Grammar as Beat Gestures." Journal of Pragmatics, vol. 145, 2019, pp. 47-60.

Miller, Vincent. Understanding Digital Culture. Sage, 2011.

Pavalanathan, Umashanthi, and Jacob Eisenstein. "Emojis: Quantifying Cultural Variation." Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media, vol. 10, no. 1, 2016, pp. 1709-1719.

Peirce, Charles S. Philosophical Writings of Peirce. They were edited by Justus Buchler, Dover Publications, 1955.

Ricoeur, Paul. Interpretation Theory: Discourse and the Surplus of Meaning. Texas Christian University Press, 1976.

Ricoeur, Paul. Hermeneutics and the Human Sciences: Essays on Language, Action and Interpretation. Cambridge University Press, 1981.

Turkle, Sherry. Alone Together: Why We Expect More from Technology and Less from Each Other. Basic Books, 2011.

Walter, Stefanie, et al. "The Role of Context in Emoji Interpretation." Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction with Mobile Devices and Services Adjunct, ACM, 2016, pp. 115-120.



Warschauer, Mark, and Morgan Healey. "Digital Literacy and the Emergence of Convergent Literacies." The Handbook of Research on New Literacies, edited by Julie Coiro et al., Lawrence Erlbaum As