

ISSN: 0976-8165

The Criterion

An International Journal in English

Vol. 7, Issue-5 (October 2016)

The Criterion 

7th Year of Open Access

Editor-in-Chief
Dr. Vishwanath Bite

www.the-criterion.com

About Us: <http://www.the-criterion.com/about/>

Archive: <http://www.the-criterion.com/archive/>

Contact Us: <http://www.the-criterion.com/contact/>

Editorial Board: <http://www.the-criterion.com/editorial-board/>

Submission: <http://www.the-criterion.com/submission/>

FAQ: <http://www.the-criterion.com/fa/>



ISSN 2278-9529

Galaxy: International Multidisciplinary Research Journal

www.galaxyimrj.com

Parlance of Communication in Systematic Functioning of Organization

Priya Adwani

Asst. Professor,

Department of Humanities

Vikrant group of Institutions, Gwalior (M.P.)

Abstract:

Organization works on concrete objectives to meet the better business prosperity, and to gain comparative solutions for the competition. Achievement of such purposes among crucial consequentiality comes in sphere of organizational communication, which implicatively insinuates correspondence among representatives, and additionally correspondence between various hierarchic levels in the same organization. Communication as a component about authoritative demeanor is optically discerned through the group level of autonomous variable. The paramountcy of communication for organization, the communicational process and channels for information permeate the network of minuscule formal groups and Organization for groups have been focused here. More accentuate is given to the more preponderant desideratum for appreciation of communication as the consequential Component about authoritative. Department due to the growing transmutations in organizations which face the leadership with incipient challenges and opportunities for testing the different organizational demeanor concept modes.

Keywords: communication, organization, organizational behaviour, communication Satisfaction

Communication is operative in organizations

Communication accommodates many functions in organizations. Six functions of communication which are percolating in organization those are apprise, regulate, integrate, manage, persuade, and socialize. The informative function of communication is fairly self-explanatory as it provides needful information to personnel so they can do their jobs in an efficacious and efficient manner. People need to be apprised about any transmutations of procedure or policy that are cognate to their work. Sometimes this function is accomplished by people at higher calibers sending information to people at lower calibers/ and the inversion. At other times, people needing information, contact those who have the needed information to acquire it. Much of the informative communication in organizations is conducted in an indicted format and thus entire group of employees can be apprised with one message and at one time. On the other hand, managers may decide to call a meeting once each week or month which is primarily of an informative nature. Most employees understand that such meetings are for the purport of disseminating information and can be yare to inquire about matters of which they require supplemental information. The regulative function of communication is involved with the communication that is directed towards regulatory policies within the organization or messages about maintenance of the organization. For example, an employee might be apprised by the manager that he or she has broken some rule or regulation and is not to break it again.

Communication that involves the regulative function is often not congenial, but it is essential to the smooth operation of the organization. The integrative function of communication is fixated on coordination of tasks, work assignments, group coordination, or the fusing of work units toward a prevalent goal. In other words, it is communication directed at getting people to collaborate and have tasks coordinated so that the "left hand kens what the right hand is doing." It is an endeavor to get people to collaborate and make things run more smoothly. For example, consultants often will find employees duplicating each other's work, whereas if there were more integrative communication, one could do one task and another do a cognate task. The management function of communication is directed towards the three goals, it is communication fixated on getting personnel to do what is needed, learning information about personnel to ken them preponderant, and establishing relationships with personnel. If one can meet the interpersonal relationship goal and the construal goal, he or she might have a better chance at kenning "how to manage" the employees. The persuasive function of communication is an outgrowth of the management function. Here the supervisor is endeavoring to influence the employee to do something in particular. Whereas simply issuing an order might accomplish the same function, this approach of better communication makes much better cognations between supervisors and subordinates. The socialization function of communication in the organization is the one that can determine whether an individual survives well, or not at all, in an organization. Socialization doesn't denote being "buddies" with everyone. It signifies being integrated into the communication networks

LITERATURE REVIEW:

Organizational comportment becomes more and more consequential for the growing role of the man in convivial processes, as well as in their management. According to S.P. Robbins, the discipline of organizational demeanor (OB) is a systematic study of actions and postures that people exhibit within the organization. "(Robbins;1992, 1.) Thereby the elements of organizational deportment become more and more paramount since the development of quality organizational comportment can increment the competitive competency of the company and market value of identically tantamount. The subject matter of the systematic study of the discipline of organizational demeanor is actions of which the most consequential ones are three determinants of employee productivity. They are reflected through productivity, absenteeism and workforce fluctuation, and great attention is paid to gratification at workplace since it reflects the postures of the employees which are withal the subject of the systematic study of the discipline of organizational deportment. Analysis of the elements of organizational demeanor enables a better understanding, prognosticating and control of organizational demeanor. Communication as an element of organizational deportment is observed through the caliber of group demeanor. Communication is one of the central components of every organization; ergo, it is pellucid why is the better understanding of communication efficacy the key to the overall organizational prosperity. (<http://web.efzg.hr/RePEc/pdf/Clanak%2006-01.pdf>)

Business communication is conventionally divided to the one within the organization and the one outside the organization. "Communication is the process of conveying the message from one person to the other (Weick and Browing, 1986), however it is very paramount that the recipient of the information understands the content and the denotement of the message." (Rouse & Rouse; 2005, 40.) Wehrich and Koontz state that the function of communication in the organization is to connect the employees of that organization in order to reach mutual goals. "Communication in the organization represents an intricate system of the flow of information, orders, wishes and references composed out of two partially complementary systems: formal communication network and informal communication network." (Fox; 2001, 41.) "Formal communication is a systematic and formal process of information transmission in verbalized and indicted form orchestrated in advance, and adjusted with the desiderata of the organization." (Fox; 2001, 42.) While informal communication does not follow the line determined in advance, but there is an undisturbed communication between particular groups within the organization.

A review of the literature with veneration to organizational communication reveals a central, shared accentuation on the issues of control and coordination through opportune communication. Organizational communication research has evolved considerably from the early conceptions of communication and its role in the organization. Apperception for the consequentiality of communication to the management process can be attributed largely to the work of Chester Barnard (1938) who maintained that communication was the very "heart" of the management process. The human relationships kineticism of the 1940's contributed significantly to the notion that communication in organizations should be a two-way process. It accentuated the concern for employee postures and gratification, stimulated research on employee involution in decision making, the two-step flow of communication, and upward and downward communication flow.

Parlance of communication in systematic functioning of organization:

For organizations to be prosperous, they must have competent communicators. Organizational communication study shows that organizations rely on efficacious communication and efficient communication skills from their members. A number of surveys (Davis & Miller, 1996; Holter & Kopka, 2001; Maes, Weldy, & Icengole, 1997; Verespej, 1998; Gaut & Perrigo, 1994) identify efficacious oral and indicted communication as the most sought after skills by those who run organizations. The U.S. Department of Labor reported communication competency as the most vital adeptness compulsory for the 21st century workforce to achieve organizational prosperity (Secretary's Commission on Achieving Compulsory Skills, 1992). The Public Forum Institute (2001) maintained that employees need to be adept in public presentation, heedfully aurally perceiving, and interpersonal communication to flourish in an organization (www.publicforuminstitute.org).

Today, however, it has become an indispensable part of their work. An efficacious management of engenderment processes requires more preponderant collaboration and teamwork among workers in different functional groups. Hence, to manage the subsisting performance of the

employees and to incentivize them for better performance, efficient communication practices have become more paramount in all organizations. The aim of the present study is to purport that positive perceptions around organizational communications accommodate to both boost motivation among current employees as well as foster employee advocacy, ultimately resulting in a reinforced employee brand. There subsists a correlation between organizational communication and employee motivation. The study betokens that employees are two times as liable to go to the extra mile for the organization and virtually four times as liable to recommend their company if they are gratified with the ways in which their company communicates on different occasions. If the employees feel that management is communicating in an efficient manner, it incentivizes them to perform better and develops a team spirit which is very essential for the smooth functioning of the organization. An incentivized employee is a valuable asset which distributes immense value to the organization in maintaining and reinforcing its business and revenue magnification.

In 1950's, research on the effects of feedback on employee performance and climate research was initiated. The quandary of upward communication distortion was the focus of attention and research methodologies like ECCO (refers to Episodic Communication Channels & Organizations-Davis, 1953) were developed. The term "communication audit" was first utilized by Odiorne (1954) as a description of the process used to assess the precision of management's perceptions of subordinates' communication. Communication audit methodologies advanced a general systems approach to the study of organizational communication. In modern days, we understand 'communication' as one of the most ascendant and consequential activities in organizations (Harris & Nelson, 2008). Fundamentally, relationships grow out of communication, and the functioning and survival of organizations is predicated on efficacious relationships among individuals and groups. In integration, organizational capabilities are developed and enacted through "intensely convivial and communicative processes" (Jones et al., 2004). Communication avails individuals and groups coordinate activities to achieve goals, and it's vital in socialization, decision-making, quandary-solving and transmute-management processes. Internal communication additionally provides employees with paramount information about their jobs, organization, environment and each other. Communication can avail incentivize, build trust, engender shared identity and spur engagement; it provides a way for individuals to express emotions, share hopes and ambitions and celebrate and recollect accomplishments. Communication is the substratum for individuals and groups to make sense of their organization, what it is and what it signifies. D'Aprix (1996) has given the hierarchy of employees' communication needs. We can quote Lukazewski who verbally expresses "The greatest perpetuating area of impuissance in management practice is the human dimension. In good times or deplorable, there seems to be minuscule authentic understanding of the relationships between managers, among employees, and interactions between the two. When there are quandaries, everyone acknowledges that the cause often is a communication quandary (Jim Lukazewski, 2006). If we consider the role of motivational practices followed in the organization, we can facilely find a solution to the 'communication problem'. Incentivizing is the

work which managers perform to inspire, embolden and impel people to take action (Louis Allen 1986). To incentivize the employees, the employee must be reached and to reach him there must be a consummated understanding of the involution of his make-up (Louis Allen 1986). Motivation efforts must be directed towards ameliorating organization operations. To be efficacious, however they must withal be designed to show benefits to the employee. In fact motivation can best be accomplished when workers are able to merge their personal ambitions with those of the organization. Traditional management methods (Theory X) might not be the only way to get people incentivized. Instead, you could take a different approach (Theory Y) and achieve the same if not more (MC Gregor, 1960). Theory Y, predicated on the integration of individual and organization goals, states that a person's commitment to an objective is a function of the rewards for its achievement. Theory Y seems to be the right approach which requires much management efforts but the effects of it would be perdurable for modern organizations.

Campbell and Pritchard (1976) define motivation as a set of independent and dependant relationships that explicates the direction, amplitude and sedulousness of an individual's demeanor holding constant the effects of aptitude, skills, understanding of a task and the constraints operating in the work environment. Atkinson (1964) defines motivation as the contemporary immediate influence on the direction, vigour and assiduousness of action. The relationship between the employer and the employee should be of mutual understanding with a view to facilitate the employee to identify himself with his work and with the business he is working for. Lack of motivation in reciprocation, affects productivity. A number of symptoms may point to low morale: declining productivity, high employee turnover, incrementing number of grievances, higher incidence of absenteeism and tardiness, incrementing number of defective products, higher number of accidents or a higher caliber of waste materials and scrap (William Day 1978). An incentivized employee is a staunch employee and to be allegiant implicatively insinuates that the employee fortifies the actions and the objectives of the firm. The appearance of the job as a whole has, in fact a bearing on the disposition and quality of an employee's performance (Martin Bruce 1962). Internal communication plays a very vital role in implementation of all these ideal motivational practices in the organization. To quote a recent article in the 'Sunday Times', 'If people understand the more astronomically immense organizational picture they will be more disposed to stay for the ride and more incentivized to do the job you require them to do.' (Sunday Times, April 28, 2002). It is this making people understand the more astronomically immense picture, is a consequential and involutes job that demands attention from practicing managers. Indeed, the 'manager who suffers from poor communication skills is liable to feel frustrated most of the time. And he is even Efficacious Organizational Communication: a Key to Employee Motivation and Performance more liable to be circumvented by a number of unhappy and unproductive subordinates' (Andrews and Baird 1989). Communication across all ladders of communication. Minutes of each meeting are circulated across all departments and accordingly information and action plans are ken by all employees. In advisement, ingenious suggestions from employees avail management in decision making and improvement of the organization.

Conclusion:

It is an axiomatic fact that organizational communication plays a vital role in employee motivation and performance as authentic changes are taking place in modern organizations which confront the incipient authenticity of tighter staffing, incremented workloads, longer hours and a more preponderant accentuation on performance, risk-taking and flexibility. Employee communications have a crucial role to play in the management effort to reorient employees perplexed by changes, or apprise and incentivize those who habituate more yarely. Within this general need to communicate their subsists the specialized requisite for efficacious communication as an outcome of managing an organization's employee cognations. Today's organizations are run by multi and cross-functional teams which show little tolerance for unquestioned ascendancy. To deal with this situation, the art of persuasion and the effort to find the correct emotional match with your audience is compulsory. This match designates that it is not enough for communication to be a one-way ticket (Torrington and Hall 1991). There has to be downward and upward communication. Efficacious downward communication sanctions decisions taken by the management of the organization to be converted into action by employees, withal boosts teamwork, trust, better cognations, productivity and fewer chances of rumors and miscommunication. Furthermore, it sanctions for a consistency of action, and it may stimulate a more preponderant commitment on the component of employees. Upward communication avails managers to understand both business and personal issues that affect employees. In advisement, ingenious suggestions from employees avail management in decision making and amendment of the organization.

Suggestions:

1. A workplace operates on the efficacious relationships built between employees, managers, departments and all levels of the company.
2. The company's decision-making process includes active communication throughout the organization. Employees are sanctioned in as many ways as possible to offer their conceptions and opinions, and be a component of the decision-making process. This open communication sanctions employees to be a component of company decision-making and participate in the company's prosperity.
3. In case of any extraordinary suggestion for amendment or effort done by any employee in matters of concern like safety, quality, cost cutting etc., the effort is communicated to top-management by the operations in-charge and the employee is recommended for indicted appreciation and monetary rewards. This incentivizes the employees and increases their sense of belongingness towards the organization.

4. Communication between the employee and manager is critical in developing training programs that ameliorate the employee's job skills and incentivize them to prosper.

5. The company has developed a good communication culture which is benign for developing the team spirit and efficient teamwork with placidity of roles. The perpetual two-way communication is the substructure for employee motivation and organizational prosperity in this company. The 'culture of communication' is availing the organization in a crucial way by enhancing employee understanding, building trust, stimulating engagement and better performance in the transmuting scenario.

Works Cited:

- Fox, R. (2001). Poslovna komunikacija, Hrvatska sveučilišna naklada, ISBN 953-169-129-0, Zagreb
- Robbins, S. P. (2003). Organizational Behavior, 10th ed., Prentice Hall, ISBN 0-13-100069-1, New Jersey
- Robbins, S.P.(1992). Bitni elementi organizacijskog ponašanja, Mate, ISBN 953-6070-30-8, Zagreb
- Rouse, M. J., Rouse, S. (2005). Poslovne komunikacije, Masmedia, Zagreb
- Weihrich, H., Koontz, H. (1994). Menedžment, Mate, ISBN 953-6070-08-1, Zagreb
- <http://web.efzg.hr/RePEc/pdf/Clanak%2006-01.pdf> Pristup: (15-02.2009)
- Atkinson, J. W. An Introduction to Motivation. New York: American Book-Van Nostrand-Reinhold, 1964.
- Campbell, D.J., & Pritchard, R. (1976). Motivation theory in industrial and organizational psychology. In M.D. Dunnette (Ed.), Handbook of industrial and organizational psychology (pp. 63-130). Chicago: Rand McNally
- D'Aprix, R. 1996. Communicating for Change –Connecting the Workplace with the Marketplace. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.
- communication: A strategic analysis. No. 5. Jim Lukaszewski Strategy.
- McGregor, D. (1960). The human side of enterprise. Boston: McGraw Hill.
- Odiorne, George S. (1965). "Management by Objectives; a System of Managerial Leadership", New York: Pitman Pub.
- www.scribd.com/jane_sebastian/d/53105110/7-Motivation
- Weick, K. E., L. D. Browning 1986. 'Argument and Narration in Organizational Communication'. Yearly Review of Management of the Journal of Management 12/2: 243-259.
- Barnard, C.I. (1938). The Functions of the Executive. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Davis, B. D. & Miller, T. R. (1996). Job preparation for the 21st century: A group project. Journal of Education for Business, 72(2), 69 - 73.
- Holter Norma C. and Kopka Donald J. (2001). "Developing a workplace skills course: Lessons learned", Journal of Education for Business, pp. 138-143.
- Maes, J. D., Weldy, T. G., and Icenogle, M. L. (1997). "A managerial perspective: Oral communication competency is most important for business students in the workplace". Journal of Business Communication, 31 (1), 67-80.

- . Verespej, M.A. (1998). Formal training: ‘Secondary’ education? *Industry Week*, 247, 42-44.
- Gaut, D., & Perrigo, E. M. (1998). *Business and professional communication for the 21st century*. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Harris, T.E., & Nelson, M.D. (2008). *Applied Organizational Communication*. NY, London: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Torrington D. and Hall L.(1991) *Personnel Management*. Oxford. Oxford University Press
- Jones, E., Watson, B., Gardner, J., & Gallois, C. (2004). *Organizational Communication: Challenges for the new century*. *Journal of Communication*, 54(4), 722-750.
- Andrews P.H. and Baird J.E. (1989) *Communications for Business and the Professions*. Iowa, Wm C Brown Publishers.
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Theory_X_and_Theory_Y