

Vol. 6, Issue-1
February 2015

ISSN: 0976-8165

The Criterion

An International Journal in English



6th Year of Open Access

Poetry | Fiction | Research Papers | Author Interviews | Book Reviews

Chief Editor
Dr. Vishwanath Bite

Managing Editor
Madhuri Bite

www.the-criterion.com

About Us: <http://www.the-criterion.com/about/>

Archive: <http://www.the-criterion.com/archive/>

Contact Us: <http://www.the-criterion.com/contact/>

Editorial Board: <http://www.the-criterion.com/editorial-board/>

Submission: <http://www.the-criterion.com/submission/>

FAQ: <http://www.the-criterion.com/fa/>

The Conflict between Intuitive Morality and Economic Rationalization in *The Mayor of Casterbridge*

Hindol Palit

Research Scholar

West Bengal State University

Time was truly out of Joint in 19th century England when process of industrialization started to make its massive presence felt. While one section heaped fortune, a big chunk of the society suddenly found them at a spot of bother. This suddenly disenfranchised section first tried to downplay the impact of machine, then tried to adapt to the new scenario and after successive failures waged a full scale battle against mechanization. The Mayor of Casterbridge is a true reflection of this turbulent time. Two protagonists, driven by two combative moralities n instincts, cross their sword at the battlefield of Casterbridge. Interestingly, while one party is keen to carry out the battle till death, the other shows reluctance which makes things more bizarre. In my dissertation, I would try to understand these contrastive moralities of two protagonists in Marxist framework and show to my readers how the complex whirlpool of economic base and superstructure effects and affects changes to the lives of characters in this explosive novel.

“...And did the Countenance Divine
Shine forth upon our clouded hills?
And was Jerusalem builded here
Among these dark Satanic Mills?” (*Jerusalem* by William
Blake)

As the black smoke of ‘Satanic Mills’ started to cloud post-Industrial Revolution sky, the whole England was seized in a crossfire between two different economic modes of production. On one hand, there was volcanic eruption of several industries empowered with astronomical capital and splendid scientific tools. English agriculture, on the other hand, was on steady decline. With the repealing of Corn Law and the introduction of Free Trade Policy, imported food stuffs, especially American wheat, conquered English market and drove English farmers to the periphery. Hundreds of thousands of workers deserted countryside to look for work in towns and toiled hard to accustom themselves with their new professions. Now, as Marx tells us, the change of economic base leads changes in the superstructure of the society; England’s leap from feudal to capitalist mode of production also brings some essential changes in the superstructure of a radically changed society. The feudal intuitive morality starts to be replaced by capitalist economic rationalization; instinctive and personal behavior fall dwarf to meticulous and objective spirit of capitalism. But such humungous changes never come without a dialectical force that highlights the conflict between dominant present and decapitated past. Thomas Hardy’s *The Mayor of Casterbridge*, written at the backdrop of this volatile period, catches the true spirit of such dialectics.

Micheal Henchard, the protagonist of *The Mayor of Casterbridge*, begins as does Defoe’s shipwrecked hero, a penniless and isolated individual, forced to rely on his own initiative to survive in an alien world. His gradual emergence from penury and obscurity to social eminence is solely motivated by his raw physical strength, determination and courage. In an early stage of pre-industrial capitalism, his endeavour can thus be seen in a heroic light. But unlike Defoe, Hardy covers over the unheroic and ordinary tenor of economic enterprise which must have characterized two decades of his hero’s life. After his drunken fraudulency

in firmity woman's tent, we meet Henchard eighteen years later enjoying mayoral status at Casterbridge. (Henchard's Character)

Prior to Donald Farfrae's arrival in Casterbridge, Henchard's economic triumphs depends upon his skill and energy, but after many years, Henchard's business has become too large for one man to manage by himself. His very success thus forces Henchard rely upon the help of another for the first time. Farfrae offers technical and managerial skills, as well as a secret scientific process by which Henchard's bad grain can be restored. But in case with Henchard, who is always driven by his intuitive morality and impulsive nature, this desire for greater economic success eventually produces a competitor whose superior commercial talent jeopardize Henchard's position. (Henchard's Character and Henchard-Farfrae comparison)

Henchard initially needs only a skilled manager to assist him in his growing business, but upon hiring Farfrae; he insists that the young man becomes his close friend and confidante. Henchard's terrible penchant for relationship and inability to discriminate between private and public world would eventually lead him to a series of ruinous decision. On the other hand, Farfrae, baptized in the fire of capitalism, always operates from an objective point of view and plans his decision without any tinge of romanticism. (Henchard's Character and Henchard-Farfrae comparison)

Before Farfrae's arrival in Casterbridge, relationship between members of different social strata remains personal, direct and subject to mood. Rather than summarily dismiss a perpetually turgid man from his job, Henchard humiliates Abbel Whittle before his fellow workers and town folk to give him a lesson. Farfrae vehemently reacts against such humiliation and his protest is the stepping stone of his slowly-turned-sour relationship with Henchard. In the later part of the novel, we see Henchard secretly compensating for his severity by helping Abel's mother in distress. On the other hand, Farfrae lowers the wage of his labours and hurls them into more arduous work immediately after his emergence to power. Such difference in master-slave relationship can be understood in the light of Marxist reading. In the feudal period, as there remains an absence of alternative mode of production, a common preoccupation with agriculture engages master and slave into a personal relationship. This relationship, however, is severely thwarted with the advent of capitalism. In a factory, a worker performs labour on raw materials, and thus transforms those raw materials into an object; in the process, the laborer adds surplus value which ensures that the object is worth more than the original raw material. Now, the mill owners, only interested in the surplus value which can fetch them a profit, distance themselves from their slaves. Such ideological disparities create an unbridgeable gulf between Henchard and Farfrae. (Henchard's Character and Henchard-Farfrae comparison)

As Farfrae gradually supplants the mayor as the most admired man in Casterbridge, Henchard dismisses his highly talented and productive manager in a fit of pique and jealousy, thereby creating a commercial rival for him. Henchard's commercial combat with Farfrae constitutes the tenor of this tragedy. Now, what discriminates Henchard's squabble from any other mercantile rivalry is Henchard's desire for prestige and personal glory. Both Farfrae and Henchard could do hefty business in Casterbridge market and make a prosperous living. But far from seeking economic security, Henchard risks his entire fortune to engage in a Homeric contest with his friend-turned-foe. (Henchard's Character and Henchard-Farfrae comparison)

Henchard's economic heroism should be understood in light of the philosophic foundation of modern middle-class regime. Hobbes and Locke champion commercial enterprise over traditional aristocratic pursuits as the chief activity for the modern citizen. They regard commercial activity as a means of taming man's vainglorious pursuits and aggressive impulse. Farfrae, in their definition, appears as a modern economic hero who enters in a marketplace without any elevated moral pursuits. He never deviates from his temperate and fully rationalized system of 'small profits frequently repeated'.

Henchard engineers his plan for an economic triumph on the basis of witchcraft and superstition. These qualities primarily enthrone Henchard in the high seat of Casterbridge; but on the face of post-industrial scientific exploits, all primordial bulwark falls like dry leaves. After rejecting Farfrae, Henchard resorts to superstitious folklore, personal vitality and rash courage. Rather than depend on the advice of a modern businessman, Henchard in the end relies on the auguries of local weather prophet in making his fateful financial decision and ruins his slightest chance of any economic revival. . (Henchard's Character and Henchard-Farfrae comparison)

Farfrae incarnates the spirit of mechanization by in Casterbridge by bringing the horse-drill to the city. Its arrival creates a stir in the market; only Henchard ridicules it. Henchard does this not because of his personal hatred for the owner of this machine, but underneath his personal dislike we can see a more impersonal reason: Henchard speaks from a rugged world where the advent of machine threatens his feudal existence. Henchard's vehemence is not a byproduct of his personal grievance, but a mere act of facile defense on the face of aggressive capitalism. . . (Henchard's Character and Henchard-Farfrae comparison)

Henchard loses everything to Farfrae: his economic sway, mayoral status and love interest. Moreover, he has to savour the indignity of working under a man who was his erstwhile employee. The fact that Farfrae has acted without malice, and is not likely to bear a grudge, makes things more unbearable still, for it is this very coolness and detachment that reminds Henchard how he has exposed himself emotionally by his impulsive acts of friendship and hatred: Farfrae's calm ignites a murderous desire in Henchard. (Henchard's Character and Henchard-Farfrae comparison)

But again, when he takes on unprepared Farfrae in a remote place, his intuitive morality propels him to the grotesque action of binding his left hand to his side so that he can fight with a physically inferior contestant on equal terms. Because of the impulsiveness of his reflections Henchard is given no train of reasoning, simply the sudden thought that issues in his saying aloud 'I'm stronger than he'. (Henchard's Character and Henchard-Farfrae comparison)

(Henchard's Character and Henchard-Farfrae comparison) He never also has necessary poison in his heart to engineer a colossal disaster. Every time Henchard comes to the edge of taking revenge, and then draws back. He settles down to expose Lucetta's love letters to her present husband Farfrae intending: "to affect a grand catastrophe at the end of his drama by reading out the name; he had come to the house with no other thought. But sitting here in cold blood he could not do it. Such a wrecking of hearts appalled even him. His quality was such he could have annihilated them both in the heat of action; but to accomplish the deed by oral poison was beyond the nerve of his enmity." (Chapter XXXV, MC)

Endnotes:

By the close of Hardy's novel, the archaic character of Casterbridge has been compromised in every aspect: over the course of just five or six years, the city experiences the first effect of revolution in modern technology, the rationalization of commercial activity, the growth of social and economic influence of women, the introduction of new social manners, the democratization of political life, and erosion of local economy. Farfrae's right to greet royal guests and Henchard's public humiliation at the same occasion highlight the increasing integration of bourgeois life at the cost of rugged sense of feudal morality. Henchard's death in obscurity and penury is an emblem of passing away of a fragile value system which ultimately succumbs to the forces of dominant form of economic rationality.

Works Cited:

1. Fisher, Joe. *The Mayor of Casterbridge: Made of Money*
2. Lerner, Laurence. Thomas Hardy's *The Mayor of Casterbridge Tragedy or Social History*. Edited by Arnold Kettle and A K Thorlby. Sussex University Press. 1975.
3. Moses, Micheal. *Agon in the Marketplace: The Mayor of Casterbridge as Bourgeois Tragedy*
4. Miller, Hillis. *The Mayor of Casterbridge, the Persistence of the Past, and the Dance of Desire*
5. Pettit, Charles. *New Perspectives on Thomas Hardy*. St. Martin's Press.