

ISSN 0976 - 8165



# THE CRITERION

An International Journal in English

DECEMBER 2019 VOL.10, ISSUE - 6

10<sup>th</sup> Year of Open Access

— Editor-In-Chief —  
Dr. Vishwanath Bite

[www.the-criterion.com](http://www.the-criterion.com)



AboutUs: <http://www.the-criterion.com/about/>

Archive: <http://www.the-criterion.com/archive/>

ContactUs: <http://www.the-criterion.com/contact/>

EditorialBoard: <http://www.the-criterion.com/editorial-board/>

Submission: <http://www.the-criterion.com/submission/>

FAQ: <http://www.the-criterion.com/fa/>



ISSN 2278-9529

Galaxy: International Multidisciplinary Research Journal

[www.galaxyimrj.com](http://www.galaxyimrj.com)

## **The Elizabethan Era and Shakespeare's Women Characters in the Public Arena**

**Ajda Bastan**

Sivas Cumhuriyet University,  
Faculty of Letters, Translation and Interpretation Department,  
Turkey.

**Article History:** Submitted-12/11/2019, Revised-23/12/2019, Accepted-25/12/2019, Published-31/12/2019.

### **Abstract:**

This study manages the situation of women in the public arena in Elizabethan Era and how these are delineated in the composition of William Shakespeare. The English writer is viewed as one of the best dramatist ever who manages the positions in which women play in his dramatization and how they impact their individual stories. Shakespeare and also the members of the Elizabethan era would be dismayed at the freedoms women expertise nowadays. What we tend to see throughout Shakespeare's plays is associate insight into the feminine character as perceived by Elizabethan culture. Shakespeare's feminine characters mirror the Elizabethan era's image of women; they were to be virtuous and tractable and people that weren't were delineated as undesirable and even evil. Shakespeare's ability for creating all types of characters is one noteworthy angle that represents this elevated affirmation. The outstanding playwright made many different female characters who are so human and genuine that the audience can see parts of their own identities regardless. Unintentionally, Shakespeare's capacity to portray any sort of individual shows his comprehensive training and learning of everything.

**Keywords:** William Shakespeare, Elizabethan Era, Women.

### **Introduction**

The sixteenth and seventeenth centuries are notable for their contentions, wars and the arrangement of the general public in Europe. There couldn't be question about the significance of these two hundreds of years and about their effect on the English social and political life. While the male some portion of the populace was battling for their rights, women were not permitted to advance their perspectives and suppositions straightforwardly. The main desires which women should satisfy were to wed somebody with a decent societal

position, expansive domains and furthermore numerous refined titles. In this case their societal position was notoriety and it additionally gave them decency. Status of the marriage and family was for society much more vital than it is in nowadays. Family reflected the fundamental social, sparing a political unit. Besides, women should take care about the family unit and youngsters, value their spouses and not to consider their rights and about their own lives. In general, Fraser (1) defines a woman as "a creature physically, intellectually, morally and even spiritually inferior to a man".

Through the ages, women have dependably had an intense position, regardless of whether it was perceived by society. As Roberts (37) underlines "life for women in Elizabethan England was not easy". They cook, clean, conceive an offspring, and sustain which all are more than should be expected occupations. Furthermore, Pragati Das (2012) declares that during the era it was said that "women were to be seen, and not heard." John Knox (12) supports this view as "woman in her greatest perfection was made to serve and obey man". Notwithstanding, additionally all through the ages, women have been isolated by society and seen as a lesser being and not being allowed indistinguishable rights and equity from men. Particularly this can be found in the Elizabethan age (1558-1603). The well-educated Queen Elizabeth battled for women to be viewed as equivalents to men through her rule. Be that as it may, although her majesty admired drama, and in cherishing the theatre, she never enabled women to be on the stage; compelling men to assume the job of people makes her beliefs and society's misleading. Additionally, Rackin (168) mentions that people playing women's roles in the 16th century was taken very seriously and women's absence was not viewed as a shortcoming.

In Shakespeare's plays when all is said in done, we can run over a few kinds of female beings. Their impact with different people and their motivation or aspect, regularly disparaged like women themselves. Women in Shakespearean plays have dependably had critical portrayals, now and again even the main character. Regardless of whether they make the primary clashes and base of the plays, or raise fascinating good and social inquiries, they have dependably been placed in testing circumstances. A few women are more grounded than others, and their impact on the play is diverse for everyone. They regularly even outperform the male saints. It's relatively unbelievable, in the event that we mull over women's status, obviously with their separation and remorseless conditions, in the days of Shakespeare-Elizabethan England of the sixteenth century. Be that as it may have been, there might be other explanations why he gave such manners to his characters. It might have been the Queen

Elizabeth I on the authority position, or a specific impact of his marriage with more established women. Some advanced faultfinders guarantee, Shakespeare was a women's activist, or despite what might be expected it is generally inaccurate, in light of the fact that we should not overlook that he composed mainly for a male diversion. Particularly in his comedies we every now and again observe a woman assuming the most grounded personality.

It's profoundly important to know the setting to completely comprehend it. The women could not do quite a bit of anything other than clean and cook for their spouses. They were likewise addressed and told like they were basic pooches. Additionally, the women did not get the chance to pick who they wedded. Their steadfastness had a place first with their dads and afterward to their spouses. This man centric structure has constrained them to wind up subdued and powerless, not just according to men and society. Generally speaking, women were dealt with appalling contrasted with the present time.

At the point when one thinks about Shakespeare's female characters, one needs to recall that the plays were written in when women were viewed as frail disapproved of creations who were adept to settle on terrible decisions whenever given the opportunity. Shakespeare, generally, partitioned his female characters into two classifications. One was the easy-going, faithful, high-minded lady, the courageous woman now and again, who epitomized every one of that was alluring in a female. The other was the autonomous, commanding, fiendish partner.

By and by, amidst this male-overwhelming society, Shakespeare depicts women with qualities at any rate equivalent to those of men. Shakespeare's perspectives on women unmistakably characterize his plays and how, by utilizing the women as the absolute most ground-breaking and stage ruling characters, and he demonstrates a surprising present for separating the obstructions that held women hostage. In the following, some examples of Shakespeare's female characters are handled on.

### **Katharina and Bianca**

Shakespeare's comic drama *The Taming of the Shrew* demonstrates the two sisters, Katharina (Kate) and Bianca, as total differences to one another. He utilizes different methods to accomplish this impact. Huge numbers of these strategies are the equivalent for the two sisters; anyway their results are extraordinary, subsequently making two totally unique characters. Our view of the sisters is framed by what the men say in regards to them.

Kate is viewed as a shrew who is dreaded and seems discouraged. In contrast, Bianca is envisioned as the perfect lady, venerated and revered all through the town Padua. Kahn (92) implies that submissive women were admirable, while shrews were known as rebellious. Katherine reflected on her situation, couldn't do anything to improve it, and she spoke in defence frequently.

In the play *The Taming of the Shrew* (1590-1592) William Shakespeare takes love further than just enthusiasm. Shakespeare goes under the surface of affection, the distance to its centre. The story genuinely starts as Baptista Minola's two young daughters are prepared for marriage; Bianca the sweet and guiltless; Katharina the canny, curst and shrewd. Men float towards lovely Bianca and escape when Katharina shows up. Hortensio, a great companion of the fundamental hero Petruchio needs to wed Bianca, with the end goal for that to occur, Hortensio must get Petruchio to wed Katharina. However, Petruchio comprehends what he is getting himself into and he shrewdly observes past Katharina's thorny external shell. He demonstrates that the Katharina isn't what everybody in Padua supposes she is. Petruchio uncovered the shallow issues in his general public and exhibits that regard and love are one and the equivalent. Besides, Petruchio's assurance and heart enables him to charm the young lady, wed her and enact the *Taming of the Shrew*.

In Shakespeare's *The Taming of the Shrew*, the troubles of marriage and accommodation become the dominant focal point. At the point when the decided Petruchio weds the oppressive Katharina it sets the scene for a clash of resolution. In the long run, Petruchio effectively tames Kate to the detriment of losing a possibly adoring and warm spouse. Actually, Kate acts more like a dreadful young toy aching to satisfy her significant other than a good spouse. Given Kate's comparison with other female characters in the comedies of Shakespeare by submitting to her better half, her compliance is just the result of a legitimate spouse's requests and dangers. Her accommodation is simply a façade of dutifulness. Prior to marriage, Kate is famous for her crankiness and obstruction towards men. Men fear Kate as a result of her savage nature and unsteady feelings. Be that as it may, after Kate is exposed to Petruchio's torments, she yields to him and surrenders to his courses to support sustenance and rational soundness.

In any case, as the play continues, we start to see the genuine sides of the two sisters and their positions absolutely pivot. Albeit even her father calls her a shrew, Katharina has a more profound character than the designation would suggest. From the earliest starting point we see that she is consistently set second in her father's affections, and disdained by all

others. Bianca then again, is recognized as the top choice, playing the tolerant heavenly attendant, expanding Baptista's qualification between the two. As Kate perceives her sister's procedure, her response is as one can envision how another would respond languishing this sort of inclination over so numerous years. Toward the finish of the play, we find out that Kate's negative state of mind turns into a positive one.

Bianca however she is a cliché daughter at the starting is an exceptionally intriguing character, who gains an extraordinary ground and progress. She carries on loyally before her father, however when he is absent, she is very unique. Her sister Kate is her inverse and furthermore a test to social standards. She is the one who has sharp tongue and intensity to converse with men. Notwithstanding, they two trade their characters towards the finish of the play – Bianca changes from respectful little girl to defiant spouse and Kate changes from a peevish girl to a respectful wife also, it most likely causes that the play closes joyfully.

### **Ophelia and Gertrude**

In The Tragedy of *Hamlet, Prince of Denmark* (1609), by William Shakespeare, ladies are depicted as inadequate and susceptible animals reliant on men for passionate security. The two ladies' characters in the play, Ophelia and Gertrude, are comparable in their shortcomings and frailties. Ophelia's franticness follows from the loss of her dad, who settled on her decisions for her, and from Hamlet's denial of their adoration. Shakespeare utilizes Ophelia's response to disaster to demonstrate how ladies are insane and lost without the voice of a man to direct their activities. Through her quick remarriage and her refusal to trust in her child, Hamlet, Shakespeare delineates Gertrude as a double crosser and a quitter. The female characters in Hamlet appear to have not very many redeemable characteristics.

Shakespeare successfully exhibits how subordinate ladies are on men by demonstrating that, without men, ladies can't work as sincerely steady or sound people. After the demise of her better half, the King, Gertrude quickly remarried the late King's sibling, Claudius. Gertrude's marriage to Claudius was a vile and ignoble disillusionment to Hamlet who was horrified by the speed with which his mom recouped from her widowhood. The nonattendance of a grieving period for the Queen proposes that she required a spouse so severely that she shamelessly deceived Hamlet and her late husband, and included herself in a corrupt marriage basically for assuaging her very own dejection. Gertrude's energy to remarry bears witness to her failure to work freely from a man. Shakespeare depicts Ophelia in a comparative way to that of Gertrude in that she is unequipped for keeping up a condition of

passionate solidness when she doesn't have a man assuming a huge job in her life. Ophelia's plummet into frenzy specifically pursues the demise of her dad, Polonius. Shakespeare quickly makes it clear to the peruser that it is her dad and sibling, as opposed to Ophelia herself.

When composing a play, Shakespeare dependably went for deliberately showing disasters with sentiment and intense activities. The females in Hamlet, Ophelia and Gertrude, both assume positions with little significance, which exhibits the absence of autonomy present; making these characters exclusively depend of the principle characters of the play—the men. In spite of the fact that Shakespeare regularly composed catastrophes, it's a well-known fact that he kept a place in his heart for sentiment. The female jobs in the play are underlined as feeble lady on the off chance that they come up short on a sidekick, and along these lines are vulnerable. Villa and Claudius, the principle male characters of the play, give off an impression of being settling on every one of the choices and intense moves in the play making Ophelia and Gertrude as their shadows. In Hamlet, Shakespeare presents characters Gertrude and Ophelia as reliant, delicate and inactive to the male characters they associate with, and frequently are commanded.

William Shakespeare joins numerous subjects and thoughts into his play, Hamlet. Of the numerous critical thoughts, one possibly neglected is the position of women. Just two of the characters in the play are female. Their lines are rare, however hold tremendous significance in connection to the movement and plot of the play. Ophelia, the suggested admirer of Prince Hamlet, and Queen Gertrude, his mother, don't seem huge, however their activities and characters take into account different occasions to unfurl. Gertrude and Ophelia are controlled and disparaged. In their powerless will, they wind up deceiving Hamlet. Watching their control by other individuals, Hamlet can legitimize and proceed with his activities.

In spite of Ophelia's feeble will, the male characters react drastically to her activities, demonstrating that ladies to be sure have a substantial effect in Hamlet. Her acquiescence is really her destruction, since it enables the male characters to control and utilize her in their plans. Ophelia's treachery winds up putting Hamlet over the edge, spurring him in his mission for requital. Ophelia is one of the two ladies in the play. As the little girl of Polonius, she just talks in the organization of a few men, or straightforwardly to her sibling or father. Since we never observe her cooperation with women, she smothers her very own musings with the end

goal to satisfy her bosses. However anyway feeble and subordinate her character is at first glance, Ophelia is a foundation to the play's movement.

Since women were relied upon to remain in their homes and bring up children, men additionally accepted that females did not know the proper behaviour and were unequipped for basic leadership, much the same as Ophelia and Gertrude in Hamlet. Being an expectation less sentimental, Shakespeare wanted to dependably a dash of start into each play he composed, yet in doing this, he spoken to ladies as defenceless and dependent on men. It doesn't encourage that Ophelia and Gertrude are exceptionally latent and oblige whatever they are told, as opposed to being self-assured and demonstrating their significance. Villa exhibits what the significance of an Elizabethan lady was by utilizing delicacy, reliance and absence of intensity.

### **Desdemona and Emilia**

In *The Tragedy of Othello*, William Shakespeare expounds on two relational unions: that of Othello and Desdemona and Iago and Emilia. All through most of the play, Desdemona and Emilia's adoration for their spouses seem, by all accounts, to be relatively indistinguishable. In any case, towards the end, it turns out to be increasingly clear that the steadfastness and love they have for their spouses are totally divergent, with just a single remaining completely faithful until her final gasp.

*Othello* opens with a dialog between two men concerning the destiny of an exceptionally excellent lady named Desdemona. One of the men is upset, having endeavoured to win her affection however hopelessly fizzled, and alternate concurs that she is a significant prize. A prize isn't only a name for Desdemona, as her humankind is lost incidentally and she stops to be anything other than a prize to be won. The two men are irate and need to look for reprisal against the man who won her, criticizing Desdemona's name all the while. Their unrivalled and opponent, the man who won Desdemona, is none other than Othello. The play has demonstrated the two men second rate, acquiring what they proved unable. The two other abused women in the play are Emilia and Bianca, every one of the three saw as simply protests of desire.

Since her first appearance in *Othello*, Desdemona shows her incredible love for Othello, her new spouse, by guarding him against unfounded complaints. Desdemona's father, Brabantio, is in the wake of discovering his girl has covertly hitched a considerably more established dark man. He takes Othello before the duke of Venice and blames him for



utilizing divination and dark enchantment to fascinate his wonderful, youthful little daughter. Othello denies this, saying Desdemona has become hopelessly enamoured with him in light of his shocking war stories.

Emilia communicates her underrated knowledge to demonstrate others' convictions against her. In contrast to the next ladies in the book, Emilia comprehends men and their reasoning; in this manner she demonstrates no disgrace for going to bat for what she has confidence in. Emilia out of the blue demolishes her better half's arrangement, which all of a sudden changes the result the story.

Emilia in actuality out smarts everybody, including her very own splendid spouse, Iago, yet much to his dismay that his reliable wife shockingly ruins his arrangement. By picking up his trust, Emilia before long understands Iago's scheming arrangement and utilizations that against him at last. Considering Iago has no clue what Emilia thinks about his arrangement, he overlooks her underrated knowledge. To pick up Iago's trust, Emilia complies with each request that he requests that her do. For instance, as a piece of Iago's arrangement, he needs to take a few to get back some composure of Desdemona's darling hanky, which soon he utilizes against her. Emilia finds the looked for after cloth, however as opposed to returning it back to her paramour, she offers it to her insidious spouse.

## **Conclusion**

Through the ages, women have consistently had an incredible job, regardless of whether it was perceived by society. They cook, clean, conceive an offspring, and sustain which all are more than would normally be appropriate employments. Be that as it may, likewise all through the ages, ladies have been isolated by society and seen as a lesser being and not being allowed indistinguishable rights and balance from men. Explicitly this can be found in the Elizabethan age. Sovereign Elizabeth battled for ladies to be viewed as equivalents to men through her rule. In any case, in cherishing the theatre, she never enabled women to be on the stage; compelling men to assume the job of people makes her beliefs and society's fraudulent.

Shakespeare and the individuals from the Elizabethan period would be shocked at the opportunities women encounter today. The resignation of Elizabethan women is just about an overlooked lifestyle. What we see all through Shakespeare's plays is a knowledge into the female character as seen by Elizabethan culture. Shakespeare's female characters mirror the

Elizabethan time's picture of women; they were to be high-minded and respectful and those that were not were depicted as unfortunate and even underhanded.

When one thinks about Shakespeare's female characters, one needs to recall that the plays were composed in a period when women were viewed as frail disapproved of creators who were adept to settle on awful decisions whenever given the opportunity. Shakespeare, generally, isolated his female characters into two classes. One was the resigned, loyal, ethical lady, the champion at times, who epitomized every one of that was attractive in a female. The other was the free, commanding, underhanded partner.

In Shakespeare's comedy *Taming of the Shrew* Bianca and Katharina are totally inverse. Amid the Elizabethan period, ladies were anticipated to conduct themselves concurring to strict social standards. Thus, Katharina is depicted as the getting into mischief and pernicious lady while Bianca is seen as a sweet and conscious youthful lady. The people around them observe Katharina as a revile and Bianca as a goddess. Their father, Baptista Minola, appears to appreciate his most youthful girl, Bianca, the foremost since of her compliancy to do what she is told to do. She appears to acknowledge her social part and indeed appreciate having men battle over her. Bianca appears what society anticipated of ladies amid Shakespearean times. Katharina does the inverse to what Bianca does – Katharina insults the effectively.

Throughout *Hamlet*, the two female characters' personalities vary. Gertrude's very cool. She stays by Claudius, not really giving him much difficulty, adding to the confusion of the circumstance of Hamlet. When she sees them, Ophelia says things, she does pretty much what she wishes. She goes against the wishes of her parents to fulfil her love of Hamlet. And in *Othello*, Desdemona and Emilia are struggling in a violent, male-dominated world to navigate and survive. Shakespeare clearly pursues the examples of early present day women's conduct and furthermore desires for the general public, which, obviously, incorporated his gatherings of people. He was ready to make utilization of these expectations in his plays, frequently making characters that disregard the standards and tenets. Be that as it may, Shakespeare dependably ensures that, toward the finish of each play, these infringements are either patched or rebuffed.

Shakespeare's women characters share such a great amount for all intents and purpose, yet they are so separated. Be that as it may, as all ladies on the planet and as all ladies consistently, since the Stone Age, until the cutting edge age these days, they all needed

love. Love, that essential human inclination that has been with us since the introduction of the humankind. Furthermore, not at all like men, who have love some way or another associated with chasing and sex, ladies look for affection for distinctive reasons. Obviously there is enthusiasm, however the essential motivation is extraordinary. Ladies need security, solace, family and however it is a platitude, they long for the mister right touching base on a white steed and taking them in his solid arms and they would live cheerfully ever after.

For his time, William Shakespeare definitely created interesting and outstanding female characters, but they were still heavily influenced by the early modern-day patriarchal society as they were very often characterized by their marital status or husbands / fathers rather than their own personalities or acts.

### **Works Cited:**

Das, Pragati. "Shakespeare's Representation of Women in his Tragedies." *Prime University Journal* ISSN, Vol.6, pp. 37-56, 1995, Web: 10 Nov. 2019

Fraser, Antonia. *The Weaker Vessel: Woman's Lot in Seventeenth-Century England*. London: Mandarin, 1985.

Kahn, Coppélia. "'The Taming of the Shrew': Shakespeare's Mirror of Marriage." *Modern Language Studies* Vol.5, No.1, pp.88–102, (Spring, 1975), <https://www.jstor.org/stable/3194204>.

Knox, John. *On Rebellion*. Ed. Roger A. Mason. Cambridge: Cambridge UP, 1994.

Rackin, Phyllis. *Shakespeare and Women*. New York: Oxford University Press, Oxford Shakespeare topics, 2005.

Roberts, Russell. *Elizabethan England*. Delaware: Mitchell Lane Publishers, 2009.

Shakespeare, William. *Hamlet*. Fingerprint, 2018.

Shakespeare, William. *Othello*. Bantam Classics; Reissue edition, 1988.

Shakespeare, William. *Taming of the Shrew*. Barrons Educational Series, 2009.